or potential for actual harm       Based on interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure the Minimum Data Set (MDS- st assessment to facilitate resident's care) related to hospice (medical care for residents expected to months or less) services were coded accurately for one of 3 sampled residents reviewed for hospic (Resident 212).         As a result, Resident 212 did not reflect their current health status, which may lead to unmet hosp needs.         Findings:         Resident 212 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included Dementia (mem problem) per the Admission Record.         A review of Resident 212's medical records was conducted. Per the MDS assessment, dated 8/16 Section O Special Treatments, Procedure, and Programs, Resident 212 was not coded under hos there was no evidence that hospice services were discontinued.         Per the November 2024 Order Summary, Resident 212 did not have hospice orders.         On 11/7/24 at 8:04 A.M., a joint interview and record review was conducted with Licensed Nurse (1 stated Resident 212 was on hospice services since 9/6/23. LN 1 further stated she made a mist clicked the discontinued order in the physician order on 4/26/24. LN 1 stated Resident 212 was or and remained under hospice care.         On 11/7/24 at 10:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursing (DON). The DO Resident 212 was receiving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident's name under hospice				
Villa Rancho Bernardo Care Center         15720 Bernardo Center Drive San Diego, CA 92127           For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.           (X4) ID PREFIX TAG         SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)           F 0641         Ensure each resident receives an accurate assessment.           Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm         "NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY" 364 Based on interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure the Minimum Data Set (MDS- st assessment to facilitate resident's care) related to hospice (medical care for residents expected to months or less) services were coded accurately for one of 3 sampled residents reviewed for hospic (Resident 212).           As a result, Resident 212 did not reflect their current health status, which may lead to unmet hosp needs.         Findings:           Resident 212 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included Dementia (mem problem) per the Admission Record.         A review of Resident 212 mas or and treatments, Procedure, and Programs, Resident 212 mas not coded under hosp there was no evidence that hospice services were discontinued.           Per the November 2024 Order Summary, Resident 212 did not have hospice care and service since 4 there was no evidence in the physician order or AleX4L LN 1 stated Ashe and a sin bicked the discontinued order in the physician order or AleX4L LN 1 stated Ashe and as and bicked the discontinued order in the physician order or hospice. MDS 1 stated she edd and tensahed under hospice care. <td></td> <td>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:</td> <td>A. Building</td> <td>COMPLETED</td>		IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	A. Building	COMPLETED
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG       SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)         F 0641       Ensure each resident receives an accurate assessment.         Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm       "NOTE - TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY" 364 Based on interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure the Minimum Data Set (MDS- st assessment to facilitate residents care) related to hospice (medical care for residents expected to months or less) services were coded accurately for one of 3 sampled residents reviewed for hospic (Resident 212).         As a result, Resident 212 did not reflect their current health status, which may lead to unmet hosp needs.       Findings:         Resident 212 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included Dementia (mem problem) per the Admission Record.       A review of Resident 212 medical records was conducted. Per the MDS assessment, dated 8/16 Section O Special Treatments, Procedure, and Programs, Resident 212 was not coded under hos A review of Resident 212 hospice Binder: Resident 212 review of hospice care and service since 9 there was no evidence that hospice services were discontinued.         Per the November 2024 Order Summary, Resident 212 did not have hospice orders.       On 11/7/24 at 80:4 AM., a joint interview and record review was conducted with Licensed Nurse ( 1 stated Resident 212 was on hospice services since 9/6/23. LN 1 stated she field the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she did the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she idd to Resident 212 awon receiving hospice care. <t< td=""><td colspan="2"></td><td colspan="2">15720 Bernardo Center Drive</td></t<>			15720 Bernardo Center Drive	
(Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)         F 0641       Ensure each resident receives an accurate assessment.         Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm       "NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY" 364 Dassessment to facilitate resident's care) related to hospice (medical care for residents expected to months or less) services were coded accurately for one of 3 sampled residents reviewed for hospic (Resident 212).         As a result, Resident 212 did not reflect their current health status, which may lead to unmet hosp needs.       Findings:         Resident 212 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included Dementia (mem problem) per the Admission Record.       A review of Resident 212 medical records was conducted. Per the MDS assessment, dated 8/16 Section O Special Treatments, Procedure, and Programs, Resident 212 was not coded under hosp there was no evidence that hospice services were discontinued.         Per the November 2024 Order Summary, Resident 212 received hospice care and service since 3 there was no evidence that hospice services services since 9/6/23. LN 1 further stated she made a mist clicked the discontinued order in the physician order on 4/26/24. LN 1 stated Resident 212 was or and remained under hospice care.         On 11/7/24 at 10.25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the MDS 1. MDS 1 stated she did the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order of Nursing (DON). The DC Resident 212 was not creeiving hospice care.         On 11/7/24 at 11.25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursing (DON). The DC Resident 212 was no conjuce are and the facility has a list of resident's name und	For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	 tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm       **NOTE - TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 364 or potential for actual harm         Residents Affected - Few       **NOTE - TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 364 Based on interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure the Minimum Data Set (MDS- st assessment to facilitate residents' care) related to hospice (medical care for residents expected to months or less) services were coded accurately for one of 3 sampled residents reviewed for hospic (Resident 212).         As a result, Resident 212 did not reflect their current health status, which may lead to unmet hosp needs.         Findings:         Resident 212 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included Dementia (mem problem) per the Admission Record.         A review of Resident 212 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included Dementia (mem problem) per the Admission Record.         A review of Resident 212 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included Dementia (mem problem) per the Admission Record.         A review of Resident 212 was admitted to the facility and Programs, Resident 212 was not coded under hosp there was no evidence that hospice services were discontinued.         Per the November 2024 Order Summary, Resident 212 did not have hospice orders.         On 11/7/24 at 8:04 A.M., a joint interview was conducted with Licensed Nurse ( 1 stated Resident 212 was on hospice care.         On 11/7/24 at 10:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the DINS 1 stated she did the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order of hospice. MDS				on)
or potential for actual harm       Based on interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure the Minimum Data Set (MDS-st assessment to facilitate resident's care) related to hospice (medical care for residents expected to months or less) services were coded accurately for one of 3 sampled residents reviewed for hospic (Resident 212).         As a result, Resident 212 did not reflect their current health status, which may lead to unmet hosp needs.         Findings:         Resident 212 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included Dementia (mem problem) per the Admission Record.         A review of Resident 212's medical records was conducted. Per the MDS assessment, dated 8/16 Section O Special Treatments, Procedure, and Programs, Resident 212 was not coded under hospice services were discontinued.         Per the November 2024 Order Summary, Resident 212 did not have hospice care and service since 4 there was no evidence that hospice services since 9/6/23. LN 1 further stated she made a mist clicked the discontinued order in the physician order on 4/26/24. LN 1 stated Resident 212 was or and remained under hospice care:         On 11/7/24 at 0:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the MDS 1. MDS 1 stated she did the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she did the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she eider the oppice care.         On 11/7/24 at 11:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursing (DON). The DO Resident 212 was cordiving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident 212 should have beer under hospice care.         On 11/7/24 at 11:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursi	F 0641	Ensure each resident receives an accurate assessment.		
Residents Affected - Few       Based on interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure the Minimum Data Set (MDS- st assessment to facilitate resident's care) related to hospice (medical care for residents expected to months or less) services were coded accurately for one of 3 sampled residents reviewed for hospic (Resident 212).         As a result, Resident 212 did not reflect their current health status, which may lead to unmet hosp needs.         Findings:         Resident 212 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included Dementia (mem problem) per the Admission Record.         A review of Resident 212's medical records was conducted. Per the MDS assessment, dated 8/16 Section O Special Treatments, Procedure, and Programs, Resident 212 was not coded under hosp there was no evidence that hospice services were discontinued.         Per the November 2024 Order Summary, Resident 212 did not have hospice orders.         On 11/7/24 at 8:04 A.M., a joint interview and record review was conducted with Licensed Nurse (1 stated Resident 212 was on hospice services since 9/6/23. LN 1 further stated she made a mist clicked the discontinued order in the physician order on 4/26/24. LN 1 stated Resident 212 was or and remained under hospice care.         On 11/7/24 at 10:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the MDS 1. MDS 1 stated she did the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she cod Resident 212 was coving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident sname under hospic treate and the facility was receiving hospice care, and MDS s been coded for hospice accurately.		**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 36471		
<ul> <li>needs.</li> <li>Findings:</li> <li>Resident 212 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included Dementia (memproblem) per the Admission Record.</li> <li>A review of Resident 212's medical records was conducted. Per the MDS assessment, dated 8/16 Section O Special Treatments, Procedure, and Programs, Resident 212 was not coded under hos A review of Resident 212 Hospice Binder: Resident 212 received hospice care and service since 5 there was no evidence that hospice services were discontinued.</li> <li>Per the November 2024 Order Summary, Resident 212 did not have hospice orders.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 8:04 A.M., a joint interview and record review was conducted with Licensed Nurse (1 stated Resident 212 was on hospice services since 9/6/23. LN 1 further stated she made a mistic clicked the discontinued order in the physician order on 4/26/24. LN 1 stated Resident 212 was or and remained under hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 10:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the MDS 1. MDS 1 stated she did the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she cod Resident 212 as not receiving hospice care. MDS 1 further stated Resident 212 should have beer under hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 11:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursing (DON). The DC Resident 212 was receiving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident's name under hospic The DON further stated Resident 212 should have a physician order for hospice care, and MDS s been coded for hospice accurately.</li> </ul>	•	<ul> <li>Based on interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure the Minimum Data Set (MDS- standard assessment to facilitate resident's care) related to hospice (medical care for residents expected to live six months or less) services were coded accurately for one of 3 sampled residents reviewed for hospice (Resident 212).</li> <li>As a result, Resident 212 did not reflect their current health status, which may lead to unmet hospice care needs.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Resident 212 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included Dementia (memproblem) per the Admission Record.</li> <li>A review of Resident 212's medical records was conducted. Per the MDS assessment, dated 8/16 Section O Special Treatments, Procedure, and Programs, Resident 212 was not coded under host A review of Resident 212 Hospice Binder: Resident 212 received hospice care and service since 9 there was no evidence that hospice services were discontinued.</li> <li>Per the November 2024 Order Summary, Resident 212 did not have hospice orders.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 8:04 A.M., a joint interview and record review was conducted with Licensed Nurse (1 stated Resident 212 was on hospice services since 9/6/23. LN 1 further stated she made a misticlicked the discontinued order in the physician order on 4/26/24. LN 1 stated Resident 212 was or and remained under hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 10:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the MDS 1. MDS 1 stated she did the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she cod Resident 212 as not receiving hospice care. MDS 1 further stated Resident 212 should have beer under hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 11:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursing (DON). The DO Resident 212 was receiving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident's name under hospic The DON further stated Resident 212 should have a physician order for hospice care, and MDS s been coded for hospice accurately.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>problem) per the Admission Record.</li> <li>A review of Resident 212's medical records was conducted. Per the MDS assessment, dated 8/16 Section O Special Treatments, Procedure, and Programs, Resident 212 was not coded under hos A review of Resident 212 Hospice Binder: Resident 212 received hospice care and service since 9 there was no evidence that hospice services were discontinued.</li> <li>Per the November 2024 Order Summary, Resident 212 did not have hospice orders.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 8:04 A.M., a joint interview and record review was conducted with Licensed Nurse (1 stated Resident 212 was on hospice services since 9/6/23. LN 1 further stated she made a mist clicked the discontinued order in the physician order on 4/26/24. LN 1 stated Resident 212 was or and remained under hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 10:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the MDS 1. MDS 1 stated she did the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she cod Resident 212 as not receiving hospice care. MDS 1 further stated Resident 212 as not receiving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident's name under hospic hospice care, and MDS 1 further stated Resident 212 was receiving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident's name under hospic hospice accurately.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Section O Special Treatments, Procedure, and Programs, Resident 212 was not coded under hose A review of Resident 212 Hospice Binder: Resident 212 received hospice care and service since a there was no evidence that hospice services were discontinued.</li> <li>Per the November 2024 Order Summary, Resident 212 did not have hospice orders.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 8:04 A.M., a joint interview and record review was conducted with Licensed Nurse (1 stated Resident 212 was on hospice services since 9/6/23. LN 1 further stated she made a mister clicked the discontinued order in the physician order on 4/26/24. LN 1 stated Resident 212 was or and remained under hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 10:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the MDS 1. MDS 1 stated she did the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she cod Resident 212 was not receiving hospice care. MDS 1 further stated Resident 212 should have been under hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 11:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursing (DON). The DO Resident 212 was receiving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident's name under hospic hospice accurately.</li> </ul>				ncluded Dementia (memory
<ul> <li>there was no evidence that hospice services were discontinued.</li> <li>Per the November 2024 Order Summary, Resident 212 did not have hospice orders.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 8:04 A.M., a joint interview and record review was conducted with Licensed Nurse ( 1 stated Resident 212 was on hospice services since 9/6/23. LN 1 further stated she made a mist. clicked the discontinued order in the physician order on 4/26/24. LN 1 stated Resident 212 was or and remained under hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 10:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the MDS 1. MDS 1 stated she did the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she cod Resident 212 as not receiving hospice care. MDS 1 further stated Resident 212 should have been under hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 11:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursing (DON). The DOR Resident 212 was receiving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident's name under hospic The DON further stated Resident 212 should have a physician order for hospice care, and MDS s been coded for hospice accurately.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>On 11/7/24 at 8:04 A.M., a joint interview and record review was conducted with Licensed Nurse (1 stated Resident 212 was on hospice services since 9/6/23. LN 1 further stated she made a mista clicked the discontinued order in the physician order on 4/26/24. LN 1 stated Resident 212 was or and remained under hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 10:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the MDS 1. MDS 1 stated she did the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she cod Resident 212 as not receiving hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 11:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursing (DON). The DO nurse receiving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident's name under hospic hospice care, and MDS s been coded for hospice accurately.</li> </ul>			•	e care and service since 9/6/23, and
<ul> <li>1 stated Resident 212 was on hospice services since 9/6/23. LN 1 further stated she made a mistic clicked the discontinued order in the physician order on 4/26/24. LN 1 stated Resident 212 was or and remained under hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 10:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the MDS 1. MDS 1 stated she did the assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she cod Resident 212 as not receiving hospice care. MDS 1 further stated Resident 212 should have been under hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 11:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursing (DON). The DO Resident 212 was receiving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident's name under hospic The DON further stated Resident 212 should have a physician order for hospice care, and MDS s been coded for hospice accurately.</li> </ul>		Per the November 2024 Order Summary, Resident 212 did not have hospice orders.		
<ul> <li>assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she cod Resident 212 as not receiving hospice care. MDS 1 further stated Resident 212 should have been under hospice care.</li> <li>On 11/7/24 at 11:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursing (DON). The DO Resident 212 was receiving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident's name under hospic the DON further stated Resident 212 should have a physician order for hospice care, and MDS s been coded for hospice accurately.</li> </ul>		On 11/7/24 at 8:04 A.M., a joint interview and record review was conducted with Licensed Nurse (LN) 1. LN 1 stated Resident 212 was on hospice services since 9/6/23. LN 1 further stated she made a mistake and clicked the discontinued order in the physician order on 4/26/24. LN 1 stated Resident 212 was on hospice and remained under hospice care.		
Resident 212 was receiving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident's name under hospic The DON further stated Resident 212 should have a physician order for hospice care, and MDS s been coded for hospice accurately.		On 11/7/24 at 10:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the MDS 1. MDS 1 stated she did the MDS assessment on 8/16/24, and she did not see a physician order for hospice. MDS 1 stated she coded Resident 212 as not receiving hospice care. MDS 1 further stated Resident 212 should have been coded under hospice care.		
(continued on next page)		On 11/7/24 at 11:25 A.M., an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursing (DON). The DON stated Resident 212 was receiving hospice care and the facility has a list of resident's name under hospice care. The DON further stated Resident 212 should have a physician order for hospice care, and MDS should have been coded for hospice accurately.		
		(continued on next page)		

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (\*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE TITLE

(X6) DATE

Facility ID: 555318

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 555318	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/07/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Villa Rancho Bernardo Care Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 15720 Bernardo Center Drive San Diego, CA 92127	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please cont	tact the nursing home or the state survey a	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by	IENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)
F 0641 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Per the facility's policy and procedu using a comprehensive assessmen interdisciplinary care plan .	re, dated 12/19/22, titled MDS 3.0 Con t process, in order to identify care need re, dated 12/19/22, titled Documentation	npletion, Residents are assessed, Is and to develop an

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 555318	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/07/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE 7	P CODE
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER       STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP COL         Villa Rancho Bernardo Care Center       15720 Bernardo Center Drive         San Diego, CA 92127			
For information on the nursing home's p	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LS			on)
F 0656 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	Develop and implement a complete care plan that meets all the resident's needs, with timetables and that can be measured. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 46980		
Residents Affected - Few	Based on interview and record review, the facility failed to create person-centered care plans (a docum that outlines the care and support a patient will receive) regarding non-pharmacological interventions (healthcare treatment that doesn't involve medication) for three of 35 sampled residents (Residents 240 and 440).		
	This failure had the potential to decrease the types of supportive interventions these residents received while at the facility.		
	Findings:		
	Resident 240 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included depression (a serious mental health condition that causes a persistent low mood).		
	Resident 290 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included depression.		
	Resident 440 was admitted to the f	acility on [DATE] with diagnoses that ir	ncluded depression.
	(LN) 4 and the Assistant Director of antidepressant medications for treat medication for treatment of depress 4 and the ADON stated Residents 3 non-pharmacological interventions. no documentation in the Medication	ew and concurrent record review were f Nursing (ADON) who stated Resident trunch of depression, Resident 290 wa sion and Resident 440 was receiving th 240, 290 and 440's care plans did not i The ADON further stated there were r a Administration and Treatment Record ent medication and treatment given).	240 was receiving two s receiving one antidepressant aree medications for depression. LN include individualized and no orders from the providers, and
		Comprehensive Care Plans revised on nent a comprehensive person-centere tions .	
	A review of the facility policy titled Use of Psychotropic Medication revised on 12/19/22 indicated, Residents who use psychotropic drugs shall also receive non-pharmacological interventions to facilitate reduction or discontinuation of the psychotropic drugs.		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 555318	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/07/2024
		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Villa Rancho Bernardo Care Center		15720 Bernardo Center Drive San Diego, CA 92127	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by	IENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	ion)
F 0755 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	Provide pharmaceutical services to meet the needs of each resident and employ or obtain the services of a licensed pharmacist. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 48270		
Residents Affected - Few	artificial external opening in the store	nd record review, the facility failed to fl mach for nutritional support) between e led residents reviewed for GT (Reside	each medication administered with
	This failure had the potential for Resident 1's GT to malfunction.		
	Findings:		
	Resident 1 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses of dysphagia (difficulty swallowing) and a gastrostomy tube, per the resident's Admission Record.		
	On 11/6/24 at 8:43 A.M., a concurrent observation and interview of a medication administration was conducted with Licensed Nurse (LN) 11. LN 11 administered the following medications via GT:		
	-Polyethylene glycol (constipation prevention) 17 grams (gm) mixed with 240 milliliters (mLs) of water		
	-Multivitamin with minerals (suppler	ment) mixed with 15 mLs of water	
	-Cetirizine (itchiness relief) 10 milligrams (mg) mixed with 15 mLs of water		
	-Calcium carbonate (supplement) 1,250 mg mixed with 30 mLs of water		
	-Gabapentin (pain management) 300 mg mixed with 15 mLs of water		
	During administration of each medi medication with water.	cations via Resident 1's GT, LN 11 did	not flush the GT between each
	On 11/6/24 at 9:10 A.M., LN 11 confirmed it was a nursing standard of practice to flush a resident's GT between each medication that was administered.		
	A record review of Resident 1's medication orders was conducted. On 3/22/24, the physician ordered Enteral (method of food or medication administration) Feed Order- every shift Enteral Feeding: flush enteral tube with 15-30 mLs of water before and after medication administration and 5 mLs water between each medication.		
	On 11/07/24 at 11:44 A.M., the Director of Nursing (DON) stated that a resident's GT needs to be flushed with water between each medication during medication administration.		
		Administration via Enteral Tube, dated nLs of water prior to administrating me	

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 555318	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/07/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Villa Rancho Bernardo Care Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 15720 Bernardo Center Drive San Diego, CA 92127	P CODE
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con		agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by		on)
F 0757 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	of Harm - Minimal harm or ial for actual harm Based on observation, interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure beha		ONFIDENTIALITY** 47466 asure behavior monitoring was in cation in one of five reviewed for e medication without proper line. was admitted to the facility on sociated with the elevation or pressure). ducted. Resident 279 was lying in ed. Resident 279 was asleep with mandated assessment tool) dated which indicated Resident 279's to 10/31/24 indicated the following: -unit of measurement), 1 tablet via ponducted. LN 2 stated Resident 275 build call Resident 279's medical why Resident 279 had the popic medication -present on
	observed; sad tearful. A record review of Resident 279's or related to depression with no behave		on antidepressant medication
		.M. with the Director of Nursing (DON) behavior monitoring to know if the med	

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 555318	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/07/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Villa Rancho Bernardo Care Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 15720 Bernardo Center Drive San Diego, CA 92127	P CODE
For information on the nursing home's p	plan to correct this deficiency, please cont	tact the nursing home or the state survey a	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by	IENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)
F 0757 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	(Each deficiency must be preceded by A record review of the facility's polic the medication is beneficial to the re		n, dated 12/19/22, indicated .and and documentation . 11 .d. In

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 555318	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/07/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Villa Rancho Bernardo Care Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 15720 Bernardo Center Drive San Diego, CA 92127	P CODE
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	l tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)
F 0761 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Ensure drugs and biologicals used professional principles; and all drug locked, compartments for controlled **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS H Based on observation, interview an unattended in one of six residents of This failure had the potential to affe Findings: A record review of the facility's Adm [DATE] with diagnoses that include Failure. An observation on 11/4/24 at 9:25 / medications, one round pill and one Resident 18 stated, the nurse left th she did not know what the medicati An interview on 11/6/24 at 10:10 A. important not to leave medications An interview on 11/6/24 at 12:01 P. medications were not to be left una A review of the facility's policy date Compliance Guidelines .1 .c. during	in the facility are labeled in accordance as and biologicals must be stored in loc d drugs. HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT Cl ad record review, the facility failed to er observed for medication storage (Resid ect residents' safety and may lead to dr hission Record indicated Resident 18 v d Dementia (a progressive state of dec A.M., in Resident 18's room was condu- e yellow pill inside a small clear cup sit he medications there for her to take aft	e with currently accepted sked compartments, separately ONFIDENTIALITY** 47466 usure medications were not left lent 18). ug diversion. vas admitted to the facility on cline in mental abilities) and Heart ucted. Resident 18 had two ting on Resident 18's bedside table er breakfast. Resident 18 stated onducted. LN 2 stated it was sir safety. was conducted. The DON stated ng resident's rooms for their safety. ndicated Policy Explanation and be under the direct observation of

	1	1	1	
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 555318	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/07/2024	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	P CODE	
Villa Rancho Bernardo Care Cente	er (	15720 Bernardo Center Drive San Diego, CA 92127		
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	l tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICI (Each deficiency must be preceded by fr		CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)	
F 0842 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or	Safeguard resident-identifiable information and/or maintain medical records on each resident that a accordance with accepted professional standards.		ds on each resident that are in	
potential for actual harm	**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS H	IAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT C	ONFIDENTIALITY** 47466	
Residents Affected - Few	for one of 35 residents reviewed fo	Based on observation, interview and record review, the facility failed to ensure a clinical record was complete for one of 35 residents reviewed for medical record accuracy (Resident 153) when a physician's order for rolled washcloth was not monitored.		
	This failure had the potential for Resident 153 to not have the adequate care and to not communicate Resident 153's care needs amongst healthcare providers.			
	Findings:			
	A record review of Resident 153's Admission Record indicated Resident 153 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included Muscle Weakness and Contracture (a stiffening /shortening at any joint that reduces the joint's range of motion) of muscle, upper arm.			
		n 11/4/24 at 8:50 A.M., with Resident 1 nands. Resident 153 stated she had th ints).		
	A record review of Resident 153's Minimum Data Set (MDS- a federally mandated assessment tool) dated 8/14/24, Section GG - Functional Abilities and Goals indicated Resident 153's had impairments on both upper extremities.			
	An interview on 11/6/24 at 8:37 A.M., with Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) 4 was conducted. CNA 4 stated, the nurses did the rolled washcloths on both her hands but was not sure when and how often the washcloths were removed.			
	placed the rolled washcloths on bo but it was not documented anywhe it was not done. LN 2 stated it was	A., with Licensed Nurse (LN) LN 2 was th Resident 153's hands daily and cheo re in Resident 153's medical record. Lt important to have the rolled washcloth maintain Resident 153's skin integrity.	cked them every shift for placemen V 2 stated if it was not documented s on both Resident 153's hands to	
	An interview on 11/6/24 at 3:30 P.M., with LN 3 was conducted. LN 3 stated nursing should follow the doctor's orders either medication or treatment and document. LN 3 stated the nurses placed the washcloth and checked placement every two hours to check for skin breakdowns and or integrity.			
	A record review of Resident 153's medication review report dated 10/1/24 to 10/31/24 indicated Resident 153 had the order for OK to use rolled washcloth to contractures on bilateral hands and fingers.			
	(continued on next page)			

Printed: 05/20/2025 Form Approved OMB No. 0938-0391

(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 555318	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/07/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Villa Rancho Bernardo Care Center		P CODE
plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
		on)
An interview on 11/7/24 at 3:00 P.N did not have the monitoring of the r record. The DON stated it was impo- further stated, also to prevent further breakdowns. A record review of the facility's polic	A., with the Director of Nursing (DON) wo olled washcloths documented anywhere ortant to document to ensure treatment er contractures and ensure Resident 15 cy titled , Consulting Physician/Practitic	vas conducted. The DON stated wa re in Resident 153's medical t was effective or not. The DON 53's skin integrity preventing skin oner's orders dated 12/19/22
•	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 555318 R r plan to correct this deficiency, please con SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by An interview on 11/7/24 at 3:00 P.N did not have the monitoring of the r record. The DON stated it was import further stated, also to prevent further breakdowns. A record review of the facility's polici indicated .3d. follow facility procedu	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:       A. Building         555318       B. Wing         ER       STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI         r       15720 Bernardo Center Drive         San Diego, CA 92127         plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey         SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES         (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying informati         An interview on 11/7/24 at 3:00 P.M., with the Director of Nursing (DON) widd not have the monitoring of the rolled washcloths documented anywhere record. The DON stated it was important to document to ensure treatment further stated, also to prevent further contractures and ensure Resident 13 breakdowns.         A record review of the facility's policy titled , Consulting Physician/Practitic indicated .3d. follow facility procedures for verbal or telephone orders included and the state of the state with the state orders included and the state with the state with the state order with the facility procedures for verbal or telephone orders included and the state with the state order with the state order with the facility procedures for verbal or telephone orders included and the state order with the facility procedures for verbal or telephone orders included and the state order with the facility procedures for verbal or telephone orders included and the state order with the facility procedures for verbal or telephone orders included and the state order with the state ordereverbal or telephone orders included and the