Printed: 06/14/2025 Form Approved OMB No. 0938-0391

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/08/2024	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIFE The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation a		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566	P CODE	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.				
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)	
F 0584 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	receiving treatment and supports for **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS Heased on observation, interview, a 11/4/2024 to 11/8/2024, the facility evident during environmental obse bathrooms between rooms [ROON odor of urine on multiple occasions.] The findings are: The facility policy titled Terminal Cle Housekeeping staff allowed the floousekeeping staff allowed the f	HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT Cound record review conducted during the did not ensure the resident's right to a struction of 1 (3rd Floor) of 2 resident und NUMBERS] and rooms [ROOM NUM is. Ideaning Complete Room Cleaning date or in resident rooms to dry after damperative of the rooms in the far side of the rooms [ROOM NUMBERS] was opened was stained with yellow dried urine and	ONFIDENTIALITY** 40686 recertification survey from sanitary environment. This was its. Specifically, the shared resident BERS] were observed with a strong d 1/5/2024 documented mopping it with disinfectant. nroom between rooms [ROOM and the bottom edge of the toilet bowl base of the toilet bowl. There were way, room [ROOM NUMBER] was om by the window. The closed door and an intensely strong odor of a the caulking at the base of the observe the shared bathroom d the bathroom smelled like urine able to use the toilet. Certified oms often and sprayed air ongest urine smell in room [ROOM in smelled like urine on previous melled that way because the	

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

TITLE

(X6) DATE

FORM CMS-2567 (02/99) Previous Versions Obsolete Event ID:

Facility ID: 335003

If continuation sheet Page 1 of 16

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/08/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE	ER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	P CODE
The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation a	and Nursing Center	2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)
F 0584 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	resident bathrooms on the 3rd Floc The Director of Environmental Serv mixing a concentrated form of clear stated they cleaned the floors throu- room [ROOM NUMBER]'s bathroor Services aware a few weeks ago the standard cleaning and neutralizing bathroom in room [ROOM NUMBE toilet bowl and the urine smell pers On 11/08/2024 at 11:55 AM, the Di- smell in room [ROOM NUMBER] at the Housekeeping staff have tried to months ago. The resident had a be conditioning/heating radiator unit, a walls, and all over the outside of the odor in room [ROOM NUMBER] are but ran out and needed to purchase room [ROOM NUMBER]. Houseke The night Housekeeper also made throughout the facility. Using bleact not mitigate the intensity of the urin and replaced the caulking and seal the lingering smell. The Director of the facility's efforts to address the f remained unresolved. The Interdisc discussed the concern often in mor may have been exhibiting inapprop behavioral interventions were deve On 11/08/2024 at 01:01 PM, the Di- Environmental Services discussed during morning report several times recently in room [ROOM NUMBER] and not in the toilet bowl. The Direct incontinence and, as a result, their threw it away and Nursing staff try More frequent showering was also	ekeeper #9 was interviewed and stated or several times a day with bleach and a vices prepared the neutralizing solution ning solution with water and pouring it in a ghout the unit at least once daily. After m, Housekeeper #9 stated they made the this bathroom had a strong foul odo solutions. Housekeeper #9 stated no mel, one of the residents in the room had isted. Frector of Environmental Services was in the state of the residents with room [ROO to address since one of the residents with a did loor. The resident was also known the toilet bowl. The Director of Environmental Stated the facility bought special odo the more. The Housekeeping staff were interested the same the same to clean the room and cleaned sure to clean the room at least once on the intensified the smell and the facility's the smell. The Director of Environmental lant around the toilet bowl several times Environmental Services stated they infoul odor in room [ROOM NUMBER] and ciplinary Team, including the Administrating report. The Director of Environmental state behavior by urinating throughout the old pod to try and address the behavior. In the concerns with a strong odor of uring stated they make the concerns with a strong odor of uring	a 3M neutralizer chemical solution. for the Housekeeping staff by nto spray bottles. Housekeeper #9 robserving the strong urine smell in the Director of Environmental r that did not go away with natter how much they clean the d a behavior of not urinating in the one of the commental r that did not go away with natter how much they clean the d a behavior of not urinating in the one of the commental r that did not go away with natter how much they clean the data behavior of not urinating in the one of the commental services as a chronic issue as admitted to the facility a few the room, including the wall, air to urinate on the bathroom floor, the lateralizers to address the issue onstructed to pay special attention to the bathroom several times a day. In the night shift during their rounds current floor cleaning solution did services stated they ripped out is, but this was not the root cause of formed their Regional Director of d made them aware that the issue ator and Director of Nursing, intal Services stated the resident their room but was unsure whether their room [ROOM NUMBER] in ade rounds on the units and was nating in various areas of the room resident had episodes of the resident was offered a urinal but the ore quickly to address the smell. Interventions were effective in

the required pre-admission screen prior to admission to the facility, and any time that there is a significant change that has bearing on the resident's specialized service needs. Procedure: Prior to a Resident's admission, the Admissions Department/designed will obtain: A SCREEN and Level I referral since the resident was referred for rehabilitation. A level II if the Level referral indicates that the resident is known to affected by serious mental illness/and/or mental retardation/developmental disability per the guidelines. During review of the SCREEN Form DOH-695 completed for Resident #82 dated 8/12/2024, the day of admission to the facility, the section Level 1 Review for Possible Mental Illness (MI), included Item #23 Dot this person have a serious mental illness?. Item #23 was not completed. The Level 1 Review for Possible Mental Retardation/Developmental Disability (MRDD) section, which includes items 23-26, were not completed. The guideline on the SCREEN form documented that if item 23 or any of item 24-26 were marked YES, proceed to Categorical Determination (items 27-30). Items 27-30 on the SCREEN form were not completed. The guideline for items 27-30 documented that if items 27-30 are marked NO, proceed to LEVEL II REFERRALS (Item 33). Item 33 was not completed. During an interview with the Admission Director on 11/08/24 at 01:37 PM, they stated that the PRI/Screens forms are sent to facility and reviewed by Admission Director. They stated that the SCREEN form is review for patient and screener signatures. They also review SCREEN forms to determine if a level 2 evaluation was required. During the interview, the SCREEN form for Resident #82 was reviewed. The Director of Admissions stated that question #23, questions #24-#30 and question #33 were not answered. They were not aware why the SCREEN form for Resident #82 was not reviewed for completion. They stated that the SCREEN form be accurately completed and returned for review. They stated accurately completed SCREI				No. 0938-0391
The Emeratid Peek Rehabilitation and Nursing Center 2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) PASARR screening for Mental disorders or Intellectual Disabilities Sor66 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few Based on record review and interviews during the Recertification survey from 11/3/2024 to 11/8/24, the facility did not ensure that a complete preadmission screening for individuals with a mental disorder was conducted. This was evident for 1 of 24 residents (Resident #82) reviewed for Preadmission Screening an Resident Review (PASARR) out of 24 sampled residents, Specifically, the SCREEN DOH 695 form was incomplete and a determination of a resident's need for Level II services had not been documented. Findings include: Resident #82 arrived at the facility on 8/12/24 with diagnoses that included, chronic pain, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder. The resident refused to proceed with admission upon arrival at facility and was discharged against medical advice on 8/12/24. The facility policy titled PASRR dated 7/15/24 stated that it is the policy of the facility that all residents have the required pre-admission screen prior to admission to the facility. and any time that there is a significant change that has bearing on the resident's specialized service needs. Procedure Prior to a Resident's admission, the Admissions Department/designed will obtain. A SCREEN and Level I referral since the needed to ye screen we mail linessal and a facility of the screen and the prior of the screen pr		IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	A. Building	COMPLETED
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) PASARR screening for Mental disorders or Intellectual Disabilities 50766 Based on record review and interviews during the Recertification survey from 11/3/2024 to 11/8/24, the facility did not ensure that a complete preadmission screening for individuals with a mental disorder was conducted. This was evident for 1 of 24 residents (Resident #82) reviewed for Preadmission Screening an Resident Review (PASARR) out of 24 sempled residents. Specifically, the SCREEN DOH 695 form was incomplete and a determination of a resident's need for Level II services had not been documented. Findings include: Resident #82 arrived at the facility on 8/12/24 with diagnoses that included, chronic pain, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder. The resident refused to proceed with admission upon arrival at facility and was discharged against medical advice on 8/12/24. The facility policy titled PASRR dated 7/15/24 stated that it is the policy of the facility that all residents have the required pre-admission screen prior to admission to the facility, and any time that there is a significant change that has bearing on the resident's specialized service needs. Procedure: Prior to a Resident's admission, the Admissions Department/designed will obtain. A SCREEN Level and It resident is known to affected by serious mental illness/level and the resident shall resident the resident was referred for rehabilitation. A level II if the Level referral indicates that the resident is known to affected by serious mental illness/level prometal retardation/developmental disability per the guidelines. During review of the SCREEN Form DOH-695 completed for Resident #82 attact 8/12/2004, the day of admission to the facility, the section Level 1 Review for Possible Mental Retardation/Developme			2000 East Main Street	P CODE
(XA) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) PASARR screening for Mental disorders or Intellectual Disabilities PASARR screening for Mental disorders or Intellectual Disabilities Specification actual harm Based on record review and interviews during the Recertification survey from 11/3/2024 to 11/8/24, the facility did not ensure that a complete preadmission screening for individuals with a mental disorder was conducted. This was evident for 1 of 24 residents (Resident #82) reviewed for Preventinssion Screening an Resident Review (PASARR) out of 24 sampled residents. Specifically, the SCREEN DOH 695 form was incomplete and a determination of a resident's need for Level II services had not been documented. Findings include: Resident #82 arrived at the facility on 8/12/24 with diagnoses that included, chronic pain, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder. The resident refused to proceed with admission upon arrival at facility and was discharged against medical advice on 8/12/24. The facility policy titled PASRR dated 7/15/24 stated that it is the policy of the facility that all residents have the required pre-admission screen prior to admission to the facility, and any time that there is a significant change that has bearing on the resident's specialized service needs. Procedure: Prior to a Resident's admission, the Admissions Department/designed will obtain: A SCREEN and Level I referral since the resident was referred for rehabilitation. A level II if the Level referral indicates that the resident is known to affected by serious mental illness/and/or mental retardation/developmental disability per level by serious mental illness/and/or mental retardation/developmental disability per level by serious mental illness/and/or mental retardation/developmental disability per level by admission to the facility, the section Level 1 Review for Possible Mental Illness (MI), included Item #23 Do this person have a se	For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con		agency.
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few Based on record review and interviews during the Recertification survey from 11/3/2024 to 11/8/24, the facility did not ensure that a complete preadmission screening for individuals with a mental disorder was conducted. This was evident for 1 of 24 sampled residents. Repetided for Preadmission Screening an Resident Review (PASARR) out of 24 sampled residents. Specifically, the SCREEN DOH 695 form was incomplete and a determination of a resident's need for Level II services had not been documented. Findings include: Resident #82 arrived at the facility on 8/12/24 with diagnoses that included, chronic pain, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder. The resident refused to proceed with admission upon arrival at facility and was discharged against medical advice on 8/12/24. The facility policy titled PASRR dated 7/15/24 stated that it is the policy of the facility that all residents have the required pre-admission screen prior to admission to the facility, and any time that there is a significant change that has bearing on the resident's specialized service needs. Procedure: Prior to a Resident's admission, the Admissions Department/designed will lobtain: A SCREEN and Level I referral since the resident was referred for rehabilitation. A level II if the Level referral indicates that the resident is known to affected by serious mental illness/and/or mental retardation/developmental disability per the guidelines. During review of the SCREEN Form DOH-695 completed for Resident #82 dated 8/12/2024, the day of admission to the facility, the section Level 1 Review for Possible Mental Retardation/Developmental Disability (MRDD) section, which includes terms 23-26, were not completed. The guideline on the SCREEN form documented that if items 27-30 are marked NO, proceed to completed. The guideline on the SCREEN form documented that if items 27-30 are marked NO, proceed to LEVEL II REFERRALS (Item 33). Item 33 was not completed.	(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES		
Based on record review and interviews during the Recertification survey from 11/3/2024 to 11/8/24, the facility did not ensure that a complete preadmission screening for individuals with a mental disorder was conducted. This was evident for 1 of 24 residents (Resident #82) reviewed for Preadmission Screening an Resident Review (PASARR) out of 24 sampled residents. Specially, the SCREEN DOH 695 form was incomplete and a determination of a resident's need for Level II services had not been documented. Findings include: Resident #82 arrived at the facility on 8/12/24 with diagnoses that included, chronic pain, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder. The resident refused to proceed with admission upon arrival at facility and was discharged against medical advice on 8/12/24. The facility policy titled PASRR dated 7/15/24 stated that it is the policy of the facility that all residents have the required pre-admission screen prior to admission to the facility, and any time that there is a significant change that has bearing on the resident's specialized service needs. Procedure: Prior to a Resident's admission, the Admissions Department/designed will obtain: A SCREEN and Level I referal since the resident was referred for rehabilitation. A level II if the Level referral indicates that the resident is known to affected by serious mental illness/and/or mental retardation/dependental disability per the guidelines. During review of the SCREEN Form DOH-695 completed for Resident #82 dated 8/12/2024, the day of admission to the facility, the section Level 1 Review for Possible Mental Illness (MI), included Item #23 Dob this person have a serious mental illness? Item #23 was not completed. The Level 1 Review for Possible Mental Retardation/Developmental Disability (MRDD) section, which includes items 23-26, were not completed. The guideline on the SCREEN form documented that if item 23 or any of item 24-26 were marked YES, proceed to Categorical Determination (items 27-30) are marked NO, proceed to Level II Repre			rders or Intellectual Disabilities	
Resident #82 arrived at the facility on 8/12/24 with diagnoses that included, chronic pain, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder. The resident refused to proceed with admission upon arrival at facility and was discharged against medical advice on 8/12/24. The facility policy titled PASRR dated 7/15/24 stated that it is the policy of the facility that all residents have the required pre-admission screen prior to admission to the facility, and any time that there is a significant change that has bearing on the resident's specialized service needs. Procedure: Prior to a Resident's admission, the Admissions Department/designed will obtain: A SCREEN and Level I referral since the resident was referred for rehabilitation. A level II if the Level referral indicates that the resident is known to affected by serious mental illness/and/or mental retardation/developmental disability per the guidelines. During review of the SCREEN Form DOH-695 completed for Resident #82 dated 8/12/2024, the day of admission to the facility, the section Level 1 Review for Possible Mental Retardation/Developmental Disability (MRDD) section, which includes items 23-26, were not completed. The guideline on the SCREEN form documented that if item 23 or any of item 24-26 were marked YES, proceed to Categorical Determination (items 27-30) utems 27-30 on the SCREEN form were not completed. The guideline for items 27-30 documented that if items 27-30 are marked NO, proceed to LEVEL II REFERRALS (Item 33). Item 33 was not completed. During an interview with the Admission Director on 11/08/24 at 01:37 PM, they stated that the PRI/Screens forms are sent to facility and reviewed by Admission Director. They stated that the SCREEN form is review for patient and screener signatures. They also review SCREEN forms to determine if a level 2 evaluation was required. During the interview, the SCREEN form for Resident #82 was reviewed. The Director of Admissions stated that question #23, questions #24-30 and question #33 were not answered. They we	potential for actual harm	Based on record review and interviews during the Recertification survey from 11/3/2024 to 11/8/24, the facility did not ensure that a complete preadmission screening for individuals with a mental disorder was conducted. This was evident for 1 of 24 residents (Resident #82) reviewed for Preadmission Screening a Resident Review (PASARR) out of 24 sampled residents. Specifically, the SCREEN DOH 695 form was		
		Resident #82 arrived at the facility on 8/12/24 with diagnoses that included, chronic pain, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder. The resident refused to proceed with admission upon arrival at facility and was discharged against medical advice on 8/12/24. The facility policy titled PASRR dated 7/15/24 stated that it is the policy of the facility that all residents have the required pre-admission screen prior to admission to the facility, and any time that there is a significant change that has bearing on the resident's specialized service needs. Procedure: Prior to a Resident's admission, the Admissions Department/designed will obtain: A SCREEN and Level I referral since the resident was referred for rehabilitation. A level II if the Level referral indicates that the resident is known to be affected by serious mental illness/and/or mental retardation/developmental disability per the guidelines. During review of the SCREEN Form DOH-695 completed for Resident #82 dated 8/12/2024, the day of admission to the facility, the section Level 1 Review for Possible Mental Illness (MI), included Item #23 Does this person have a serious mental illness?. Item #23 was not completed. The Level 1 Review for Possible Mental Retardation/Developmental Disability (MRDD) section, which includes items 23-26, were not completed. The guideline on the SCREEN form documented that if item 23 or any of item 24-26 were marked YES, proceed to Categorical Determination (items 27-30). Items 27-30 on the SCREEN form were not completed. The guideline for items 27-30 documented that if items 27-30 are marked NO, proceed to LEVEL II REFERRALS (Item 33). Item 33 was not completed. During an interview with the Admission Director on 11/08/24 at 01:37 PM, they stated that the PRI/Screens forms are sent to facility and reviewed by Admission Director. They stated that the SCREEN form is reviewed for patient and screener signatures. They also review SCREEN forms to develve 2 evaluation was required. During the interview, the SCREEN form for		

			NO. 0936-0391	
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/08/2024	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation and Nursing Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, Z 2000 East Main Street	IP CODE	
Facilities and the constitution of the constit		Peekskill, NY 10566		
		tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informat	ion)	
F 0645 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	During an interview on 11/08/24 at 02:17 PM with the Director of Nursing, they stated that SCREEN forms should be fully completed before a decision is made to accept a resident. Admission Director is responsible for ensuring completion of level I and level II information has been completed on SCREEN forms submitted to the facility. They stated that the SCREEN form for Resident #82, reviewed during interview, was not complete and should have been returned to facility requesting admission for completion. Any concerns on SCREEN forms or Level II reviews should be discussed with the Interdisciplinary team prior to admission to ensure that the Resident requesting admission to facility can receive the necessary services.			
	10 NYCRR 415.11(e)			

			No. 0938-0391	
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/08/2024	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation a		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 2000 East Main Street	P CODE	
		Peekskill, NY 10566		
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)	
F 0658	Ensure services provided by the nu	ursing facility meet professional standar	rds of quality.	
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS H	IAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CO	ONFIDENTIALITY** 40686	
Residents Affected - Few	Based on observation, interview, and record review conducted during the recertification survey from 11/4/2024 to 11/8/2024, the facility did not ensure services provided met professional standards of quality. This was evident for 1 (Resident #20) of 4 residents reviewed for pressure ulcers out of 22 total sampled residents. Specifically, Licensed Practical Nurse #1 discontinued a physician ordered wound care treatment for Resident #20 without notifying the provider for an order to discontinue.			
	The findings are:			
	The facility policy titled Pressure Ulcers/Skin Breakdown - Clinical Protocol dated 6/24/2024 documented the Physician will authorize pertinent orders related to wound treatments.			
	The facility policy titled Pressure Injury Risk assessment dated [DATE] documented a skin assessment tool was used to document findings of skin inspections. Care plan interventions must be current and recognized standards of care. Report information in accordance with professional standards of practice.			
	The facility Role of Licensed Practical Nurse Supervisor, signed by Licensed Practical Nurse #1 on 7/2023, documented the nurse will work in collaboration with physician and/or other health care professionals by sharing information relevant to changing the plan of care.			
	Resident #20 had diagnoses of sac deep tissue injury to the right heel.	crococcygeal moisture-associated skin	damage and in-house acquired	
		ment dated [DATE] documented Residentageable deep tissue injury that was no		
	The Comprehensive Care Plan rela Resident #20 should have treatmen	ated to right heel wound was initiated 9, ats administered as ordered.	/19/2024 and documented	
		documented Resident #20 was ordered 48 hours. Both orders for treatment we		
	Weekly Skin Observation dated 10, heel and moisture-associated skin	/30/2024 documented Resident #20 hadamage to their coccyx region.	d a deep tissue injury to their right	
	The Treatment Administration Reco #20's right heel and coccyx was dis	ord for 11/2024 documented the treatm scontinued on 11/6/2024.	ent order for grey foam to Resident	
	The Nursing Note dated 11/6/2024 sacral coccyx region.	documented Resident #20's wounds h	ad resolved to the right heel and	
	(continued on next page)			

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/08/2024	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE	:D	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	P CODE	
The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation a		2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566	FCOSE	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.				
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)	
F 0658 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	#20's unit, was interviewed and sta orders. Licensed Practical Nurse #	sed Practical Nurse #1, the medication ted Resident #20 did not have any pre 1 stated they had no planned skin obse ave any open areas on their skin that r	scribed wound care treatment ervations or treatments for Resident	
Residents Affected - Few	area. Resident #20's coccyx was of observed with a dressing to their rigentered Resident #20's room and stricensed Practical Nurse #1 remove with red, moist skin and a skin flap not on wound rounds and had not be Licensed Practical Nurse #1 stated Nurse Practitioner to assess startin On 11/07/2024 at 05:08 PM, the Weard had wound treatments ordered for stated they did not receive any call wound care treatments were discontinued the word on 11/08/2024 at 12:41 PM, Licens the Wound Care Practitioner or any for their right heel and coccyx/sacrathe Director of Nursing that Resided discontinue treatment without obse Practitioner. Licensed Practical Nur resident's wounds, order treatment.	Yound Care Nurse Practitioner was interpreted their right heel and sacral/coccyx area. It is strongly the facility or Licensed Practical national on 11/6/2024. The Wound Care ound care orders for Resident #20 on a to the right heel and sacral/coccyx are used Practical Nurse #1 was interviewed or other physician prior to discontinuing all area on 11/6/2024. Licensed Practicant #20's wounds might be resolving an rving Resident #20's wounds or speaking #1 stated it was not in their scope of the speaking and the speaking was interviewed and the practical Nurses to order or discontinued to rector of Nursing was interviewed and the practical Nurses to order or discontinued treatments without continued treatments.	area. Resident #20's right heel was Licensed Practical Nurse #1 ht heel was a protective dressing. It #20's right heel was observed Nurse #1 stated Resident #20 was ir right heel or sacral area. Lind rounds for the Wound Care Practitioners Nurse #1 to inform them that the Nurse Practitioner stated they 11/6/2024 because the resident a for wounds that had not resolved. It and stated they did not speak with Resident #20's wound treatments all Nurse #1 stated they heard from the placed the order to ing with the Wound Care Nurse of practice to be able to assess a insulting a physician.	

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/08/2024	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLII	FD	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	P CODE	
The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation a		2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566	FCODE	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			agency.	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)			
F 0686	Provide appropriate pressure ulcer	care and prevent new ulcers from deve	eloping.	
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS H	NAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CO	ONFIDENTIALITY** 40686	
Residents Affected - Few	Based on observation, interview, and record review conducted during the recertification survey from 11/4/2024 to 11/8/2024, the facility did not ensure a resident with pressure ulcers received necessary treatment and services, consistent with professional standards of practice, to promote healing. This was evident for 1 (Resident #20) of 4 residents reviewed for pressure ulcers. Specifically, Licensed Practical Nurse #1 discontinued a physician ordered wound care treatment for Resident #20 without notifying the provider and getting an order to discontinue.			
	The findings are:			
	The facility policy titled Pressure Ul Physician will authorize pertinent o	lcers/Skin Breakdown - Clinical Protoco rders related to wound treatments.	ol dated 6/24/2024 documented the	
	The facility policy titled Pressure Injury Risk assessment dated [DATE] documented a skin assessment tool was used to document findings of skin inspections. Care plan interventions must be current and recognized standards of care. Report information in accordance with professional standards of practice.			
	Resident #20 had diagnoses of sac deep tissue injury to the right heel.	crococcygeal moisture-associated skin	damage and in-house acquired	
	The Minimum Data Set 3.0 assessment dated [DATE] documented Resident #20 was severely cognitively impaired and had an unhealed unstageable deep tissue injury that was not present upon admission to the facility.			
	The Comprehensive Care Plan rela Resident #20 should have treatment	ated to right heel wound was initiated 9, nts administered as ordered.	/19/2024 and documented	
	1	documented Resident #20 was ordered 48 hours. Both orders for treatment we	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Weekly Skin Observation dated 10 heel and moisture-associated skin	/30/2024 documented Resident #20 had damage to their coccyx region.	d a deep tissue injury to their right	
	The Treatment Administration Reco	ord for 11/2024 documented the treatm scontinued on 11/6/2024.	ent order for grey foam to Resident	
	The Nursing Note dated 11/6/2024 sacral coccyx region.	documented Resident #20's wounds h	ad resolved to the right heel and	
	(continued on next page)			

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/08/2024	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE	D.	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	P CODE	
		2000 East Main Street	PCODE	
The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation a	nd Nursing Center	Peekskill, NY 10566		
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.				
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)			
F 0686 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	On 11/06/2024 at 11:38 AM, Licensed Practical Nurse #1, the medication and treatment nurse on Resident #20's unit, was interviewed and stated Resident #20 did not have any prescribed wound care treatment orders. Licensed Practical Nurse #1 stated they had no planned skin observations or treatments for Resident #20 because the resident did not have any open areas on their skin that required wound care.			
Residents Affected - Few		ed Nursing Assistant #5, assigned to F ent #20 back into bed at approximately n and right heel.		
	On 11/06/2024 at 02:20 PM, Certified Nursing Assistant #5 assisted with observing Resident #20's sacral area. Resident #20's coccyx was observed with a small open excoriated area. Resident #20's right heel was observed with a dressing to their right heel dated 11/4/2024. At 2:21 PM, Licensed Practical Nurse #1 entered Resident #20's room and stated the dressing on the resident's right heel was a protective dressing. Licensed Practical Nurse #1 removed the right heel dressing and Resident #20's right heel was observed with red, moist skin and a skin flap with an open area. Licensed Practical Nurse #1 stated Resident #20 was not on wound rounds and had not been receiving wound treatment for their right heel or sacral area. Licensed Practical Nurse #1 stated they would place Resident #20 on wound rounds for the Wound Care Nurse Practitioner to assess starting tomorrow, 11/7/2024.			
	On 11/07/2024 at 05:08 PM, the Wound Care Nurse Practitioner was interviewed and stated Resident #20 had wound treatments ordered for their right heel and sacral/coccyx area. The Wound Care Practitioners stated they did not receive any calls from the facility or Licensed Practical Nurse #1 to inform them that wound care treatments were discontinued on 11/6/2024. The Wound Care Nurse Practitioner stated they would not have discontinued the wound care orders for Resident #20 on 11/6/2024 because the resident required ongoing wound treatment to the right heel and sacral/coccyx area for wounds that had not resolved.			
	On 11/08/2024 at 12:41 PM, Licensed Practical Nurse #1 was interviewed and stated they did not speak with the Wound Care Practitioner or any other physician prior to discontinuing Resident #20's wound treatments for their right heel and coccyx/sacral area on 11/6/2024. Licensed Practical Nurse #1 stated they heard from the Director of Nursing that Resident #20's wounds might be resolving and the placed the order to discontinue treatment without observing Resident #20's wounds or speaking with the Wound Care Nurse Practitioner. Licensed Practical Nurse #1 stated it was not in their scope of practice to be able to assess a resident's wounds, order treatments, or discontinue treatments without consulting a physician.			
	On 11/08/2024 at 01:27 PM, the Director of Nursing was interviewed and stated it was not the facility policy or a standard of practice for Licensed Practical Nurses to order or discontinue wound care treatments without first consulting a physician or nurse practitioner.			
	10 NYCRR 415.12(c)(1)			

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/08/2024	
NAME OF PROVIDED OR CURRU		CTREET ADDRESS SITV STATE 7	ID CODE	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLI		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	IP CODE	
The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation a	and Nursing Center	2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566		
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.				
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)			
F 0730	Observe each nurse aide's job perf	ormance and give regular training.		
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	50766			
Residents Affected - Some	11/8/2024, the facility did not ensur	ew conducted during the recertification re Annual Performance Reviews were on s unable to provide Annual Performand of reviewed.	completed at least once every 12	
	The findings are:			
	The facility policy titled Job Perform employee shall be reviewed and ex	nance Review, dated 10/01/2024, state valuated at least annually.	ed: The job performance of each	
	During an interview with Assistant Director of Nursing/Nurse Educator on 11/06/24 at 4:14 PM, they stated the facility had just resumed Annual Performance Appraisals for nursing staff. The Assistant Director of Nursing/Nurses Educator stated they were unable to provide performance appraisals for the 5 staff members requested (#11, #12, #13, #14, #15).			
	not completed staff Annual Perform process of resuming Annual Perfor	istrator on 11/08/24 at 3:17 PM, the Adnance Appraisals for the last few years mance Appraisals at the current time at Educator was unable to provide the rervey.	. They stated the facility was in the and they were aware that the	
	10NYCRR 415.26 (c)(2)(iii)			

	30.11000		No. 0938-0391
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/08/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation a		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566	P CODE
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0755 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Provide pharmaceutical services to licensed pharmacist. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS IN Based on observation, record revies survey (NY00338157) conducted 1 medications and/or biologicals, as residents reviewed for Pharmacy S medication used to treat lupus) on and administered as ordered. Findings include: The facility policy titled Medication in a safe and timely manner, and a orders, including any required time Machine (Med-bank) for the schedule Resident #184 was admitted [DATI left humerus, and systemic lupus experience of the cognition. A Physician order dated 3/29/24 domouth one time a day for systemic Resident #184's Medication Admin methylprednisolone oral tablet 2 mistarting 3/30/24 was not administer A review of Nurse Progress Notes documentation related to methylpre related to medications being unavalordered. During a telephone interview with Fresident #184 did not received stemember stated a facility staff member.	Administration dated 10/05/2024 stated is prescribed. Medications must be administration will be contact. Administration dated 10/05/2024 stated is prescribed. Medications must be administration is unavailable from the pulled time, the practitioner will be contact. If medication is unavailable from the pulled time, the practitioner will be contact. We will be contact. Admission, dated 3/31/24, documented in the pulled time, the practitioner will be contact. Admission, dated 3/31/24, documented in the pulled time, the practitioner will be contact. Admission, dated 3/31/24, documented in the pulled time, the practitioner will be contact. Admission, dated 3/31/24, documented in the pulled time, the practition of medical in the pulled time, the practition of the pulled time, and the pulled time, the practition of the pulled time, and the practition of the practition of the pulled time, and the practition of the pulled time, and the practition of the practition of the pulled time, and the practition of the pulled time, and the pract	employ or obtain the services of a DNFIDENTIALITY** 50766 e recertification and abbreviated of ensure they provided needs of 1 (Residents #184) of 2 d not receive methylprednisolone (a ras not acquired from the pharmacy of the pharmacy or E-box or First Done of the for further instructions. One rib, fracture of surgical neck of the Resident #184 had intact Ilet 2 milligrams. Give 6.5 tablet by //2024 documented me a day for systemic lupus, and a great of the pharmacy or the pharmacy or systemic lupus, and the pharmacy or sy

			NO. 0936-0391
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/08/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation a		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566	P CODE
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0755 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	medications were ordered the ever ordered would be delivered to facili medication (methylprednisolone) w facility Medical Director was not no was a failure in communication bet During an interview with the Pharm methylprednisolone 2 milligram tab the 2 milligram tablets were not available a recommendation to give the pharmacist was unable to reach the should have remained in the pharm which led to no further follow-up willigram and communication did not continual During an interview on 11/08/24 at (methylprednisolone) not being available the facility did not stock methy stated communication did not occur Resident #184 not receiving methy	Administrator on 11/08/24 at 10:14 AM hing of 3/29/24. They stated that the exity the next morning which did not occuras not administered on 3/30/24 or 3/31 tified on 3/30/24 regarding missing merween facility and the pharmacy. Place of the pharmacy of the pharmacist of the pharmacy o	pectation was that the medications r. The Administrator stated that the /24. The Administrator stated the dications. They stated that there dications. They stated that there at day. Pharmacist stated that would be 4 milligram tablets and grams. They stated that when the commendations, the follow-up call be completed. This did not occur e policy was not followed correctly a delivered to facility. They stated that the medication rmacy was a concern. They stated one Machine (Med-bank). They shift rounds on 3/30/24 regarding d from pharmacy during morning

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION (XI) PROVIDER (SUDDIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION NUMBER: A Building B. wing 110/8/2024 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation and Nursing Center The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation and Nursing Center For information on the rursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Sech deficiency must be presided by full regulatory or 150 identifying information) Ensure each resident's drug regimen must be free from unnecessary drugs. 47626 Based on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each resident's drug regimen was free from unnecessary drugs, use for 1 (Resident #73) of 5 residents reviewed for unnecessary drugs, Specifically, Resident #73 had an order for Transdol 50 milligrams three times a day for a pain scale of 5-10 and was administered 12 times from the first formation of the following decision from the following decision from the following decision from the following decision from the finding model infector of discuss concerns. A quarterly Minimum Dala Set (an assessment tool) dated 10/1/2024 documented Medication must be administered in accordance with orders, including any required time reinsellant grained in recordance for many required time reinsellant grains and was dependent on staff with all other care. The resident framedol 50 milligrams give 1 tablet every 8 hours for pain scale of 5-10. A quarterly Minimum Dala Set (an assessment tool) dated 10/1/2024 documented who ensign and was dependent on staff with all other care. The resident received insulin all 7 days during the look back period. Additionally received and opioid. A physician order dated 10/18/2024 documented Tramadol 50 milligrams was signed out every 8 hours for pain scale of 5-10. The November 2024 Medication Administration Record documented Resident #73 received Tramadol 50 milligram with a docu					
The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation and Nursing Center 2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566 For Information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (XA) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Ensure each resident's drug regimen must be free from unnecessary drugs. 47826 Based on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each resident's drug regimen was free from unnecessary drugs, use for 1 (Resident #73) of 5 residents reviewed for unnecessary drugs, seefficially, Resident #73 had on dreft or Tramadol 50 interest from 11/1/24-11/6/24 with a pain scale less than 5. Findings included: Resident # 73 had diagnosis including Cerebral infarction, Aphasia, and Type 2 diabetes. A Policy and Procedure titled Medication Administration dated 10/5/2024 documented Medication must be administered in accordance with orders, including any required time frames. If a dosage is believed to be inappropriate or excessive for the resident, the person preparing or administering the medication will contact the resident's attending physician or the facility medical direction to discuss concernly impaired. The resident received insulin all 7 days during the foot back period. Additionally received an optical. A physician order dated 10/18/2024 documented Resident #73 received Tramadol 50 milligrams with a documented pain scale of 0 (zero) at 6:00 AM on 11/5/24 and 11/5/24, at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/2/24, 11/3/24, and 11/5/24 to current. The drug regimen review recommended for the physician to evaluate the use of Tramadol, dated 9/19/24 the Medical Director responded the resident mounted and was nonverbal, the current dose was required with no gradual dose reduction. During an interview with Registered Nurse #8 on 11/10/24 at 10:03 AM they stated it was a standing order. They stated they believed the order		IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	A. Building	COMPLETED	
The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation and Nursing Center 2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566 For Information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (XA) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Ensure each resident's drug regimen must be free from unnecessary drugs. 47826 Based on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each resident's drug regimen was free from unnecessary drugs, use for 1 (Resident #73) of 5 residents reviewed for unnecessary drugs, seefficially, Resident #73 had on dreft or Tramadol 50 interest from 11/1/24-11/6/24 with a pain scale less than 5. Findings included: Resident # 73 had diagnosis including Cerebral infarction, Aphasia, and Type 2 diabetes. A Policy and Procedure titled Medication Administration dated 10/5/2024 documented Medication must be administered in accordance with orders, including any required time frames. If a dosage is believed to be inappropriate or excessive for the resident, the person preparing or administering the medication will contact the resident's attending physician or the facility medical direction to discuss concernly impaired. The resident received insulin all 7 days during the foot back period. Additionally received an optical. A physician order dated 10/18/2024 documented Resident #73 received Tramadol 50 milligrams with a documented pain scale of 0 (zero) at 6:00 AM on 11/5/24 and 11/5/24, at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/2/24, 11/3/24, and 11/5/24 to current. The drug regimen review recommended for the physician to evaluate the use of Tramadol, dated 9/19/24 the Medical Director responded the resident mounted and was nonverbal, the current dose was required with no gradual dose reduction. During an interview with Registered Nurse #8 on 11/10/24 at 10:03 AM they stated it was a standing order. They stated they believed the order	NAME OF DROVIDED OR SURDIU	ED.	STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE 71	P CODE	
Peckskill, NY 10566 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (XA) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Ensure each resident's drug regimen must be free from unnecessary drugs. Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few Based on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each resident's drug regimen was free from unnecessary drugs, use for 1 (Resident #73) of 5 residents reviewed for unnecessary drugs, Specifically, Resident #73 had an order for Tramadol 50 milligrams three times a day for a pain scale of 5-10 and was administered 12 times from 11/1/24-11/6/24 with a pain scale less than 5. Findings included: Resident #73 had diagnosis including Cerebral infarction, Aphasia, and Type 2 diabetes. A Policy and Procedure titled Medication Administration dated 10/5/2024 documented Medication must be administered in accordance with orders, including any required time frames. If a dosage is believed to be inappropriate or excessive for the resident, the person preparing or administing the medication will contact the resident's attending physician or the facility medical director to discuss concerns. A quarterly Minimum Data Set (an assessment tool) dated 10/1/2024 documented the resident's cognition was severely impaired. The resident required supervision or touching assistance with eating and was dependent on staff with all other care. The resident received insulin all 7 days during the look back period. Additionally received an opicid. A physician order dated 10/18/2024 documented Tramadol 50 milligrams, give 1 tablet every 8 hours for pain scale of 5-10. The November 2024 Medication Administration Record documented Resident #73 received Tramadol 50 milligram with a documented pain scale of 0 (zero) at 6:00 AM on 11/5/24 and 11/6/				PCODE	
[Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information] F 0757 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few 8 ased on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each residents Affected - Few 8 ased on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each residents Affected - Few 8 ased on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each residents Affected - Few 8 ased on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each residents Affected - Few 8 ased on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each residents Affected - Few 8 ased on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each residents affected - Few 8 ased on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each residents affected - Few 8 ased on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24 with a pain scale less than 5. Findings included: Resident # 73 had diagnosis including Cerebral infarction, Aphasia, and Type 2 diabetes. A Policy and Procedure titled Medication Administration Administration facted 10/5/20/24 documented Medication must be administered in accordance with orders, including any required time frames. If a dosage is believed to be inappropriate or excessive for the resident, the person preparing or administering the medication will contact the resident's attending physician or the facility medical director to discuss concerns. A quarterly Minimum Data Set (an assessment tool) dated 10/1/20/24 documented the resident's cognition was severely impaired. The resident received insulin all 7 days during the look back period. Additionally received an opioid. A physician order dated 10/18/20/24 docume	The Linerald Feek Kenabilitation a	and Nursing Center	1		
Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information	For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.				
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few Based on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each residents Affected - Few Based on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each residents Affected - Few Based on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each residents Affected - Few Based on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each residents at each go an iscale of 5-10 and was administered 12 times from 11/1/24-11/8/24 with a pain scale less than 5. Findings included: Resident # 73 had diagnosis including Cerebral infarction, Aphasia, and Type 2 diabetes. A Policy and Procedure titled Medication Administration dated 10/5/2024 documented Medication must be administered in accordance with orders, including any required time frames. If a dosage is believed to be inappropriate or excessive for the resident, the person preparing or administering the medication will contact the residents attending physician or the facility medical director to discuss concerns. A quarterly Minimum Data Set (an assessment tool) dated 10/1/2024 documented the resident's cognition was severely impaired. The resident required supervision or touching assistance with eating and was dependent on staff with all other care. The resident received insulin all 7 days during the look back period. Additionally received an opioid. A physician order dated 10/18/2024 documented Tramadol 50 milligrams, give 1 tablet every 8 hours scale of 5-10. The November 2024 Medication Administration Record documented Resident #73 received Tramadol 50 milligram with a documented pain scale of 0 (zero) at 6:00 AM on 11/5/24 and 11/6/24, at 2:00 PM on 11/1/224, 11/24, 1	(X4) ID PREFIX TAG				
Based on record review and interviews during a survey from 11/4/24-11/8/24, the facility did not ensure each resident's drug regimen was free from unnecessary drugs, use for 1 (Resident #73) of 5 residents reviewed for unnecessary drugs. Specifically, Resident #73 had on order for Tramadol 50 milligrams three times a day for a pain scale of 5-10 and was administered 12 times from 11/1/24-11/6/24 with a pain scale less than 5. Findings included: Resident # 73 had diagnosis including Cerebral infarction, Aphasia, and Type 2 diabetes. A Policy and Procedure titled Medication Administration dated 10/5/2024 documented Medication must be administered in accordance with orders, including any required time frames. If a dosage is believed to be inappropriate or excessive for the resident, the person preparing administeration will contact the resident's attending physician or the facility medical director to discuss concerns. A quarterly Minimum Data Set (an assessment tool) dated 10/1/2024 documented the resident's cognition was severely impaired. The resident required supervision or touching assistance with eating and was dependent on staff with all other care. The resident received insulin all 7 days during the look back period. Additionally received an opioid. A physician order dated 10/18/2024 documented Tramadol 50 milligrams, give 1 tablet every 8 hours for pain scale of 5-10. The November 2024 Medication Administration Record documented Resident #73 received Tramadol 50 milligram with a documented pain scale of 0 (zero) at 6:00 AM on 11/5/24 and 11/6/24 at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/2/24, 11/3/24, and 11/5/24, and 11/5/24, and at 10:00 PM on 11/2/24 and 11/6/24 and 11/6/24 at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/2/24, 11/3/24, and 11/5/24, and 11/5/24 and at 10:00 PM on 11/2/24 and 11/6/24 at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24 in pain and the view of the Narcotics book documented that Tramadol 50 milligrams was signed out every 8 hours standing from 11/1/2024 to current. The drug regimen review recommended for the physician to evaluate the	F 0757	Ensure each resident's drug regime	en must be free from unnecessary drug	js.	
residents drug regimen was free from unnecessary drugs, use for 1 (Resident #73) of 5 residents reviewed for unnecessary drugs. Specifically, Resident #73 had an order for Tramadol 50 milligrams three times a day for a pain scale of 5-10 and was administered 12 times from 11/1/24-11/6/24 with a pain scale less than 5. Findings included: Resident # 73 had diagnosis including Cerebral infarction, Aphasia, and Type 2 diabetes. A Policy and Procedure titled Medication Administration dated 10/5/2024 documented Medication must be administered in accordance with orders, including any required time frames. If a dosage is believed to be inappropriate or excessive for the resident, the person preparing or administering the medication will contact the resident's entending physician or the facility medical director to discuss concerns. A quarterly Minimum Data Set (an assessment tool) dated 10/1/2024 documented the resident's cognition was severely impaired. The resident required supervision or touching assistance with eating and was dependent on staff with all other care. The resident received insulin all 7 days during the look back period. Additionally received an opioid. A physician order dated 10/18/2024 documented Tramadol 50 milligrams, give 1 tablet every 8 hours for pain scale of 5-10. The November 2024 Medication Administration Record documented Resident #73 received Tramadol 50 milligram with a documented pain scale of 0 (zero) at 6:00 AM on 11/5/24 and 11/6/24; at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/1/224, 11/3/24, and 11/5/24, and at 10:00 PM on 11/2/24 and 11/6/24; at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/1/24, 11/1/24, 11/3/24, and 11/5/24, and at 10:00 PM on 11/2/24 and 11/6/24; at 2:00 PM on 11/1/20 pregimen review recommended for the physician to evaluate the use of Tramadol, dated 9/19/24 the Medical Director responded the resident moaned and was nonverbal, the current dose was required with no gradual dose reduction. During an interview with Registered Nurse #8 on 11/06/24 at 10:03 AM they stated it was a standing order for		47626			
Resident # 73 had diagnosis including Cerebral infarction, Aphasia, and Type 2 diabetes. A Policy and Procedure titled Medication Administration dated 10/5/2024 documented Medication must be administered in accordance with orders, including any required time frames. If a dosage is believed to be inappropriate or excessive for the resident, the person preparing or administering the medication will contact the resident's attending physician or the facility medical director to discuss concerns. A quarterly Minimum Data Set (an assessment tool) dated 10/1/2024 documented the resident's cognition was severely impaired. The resident required supervision or touching assistance with eating and was dependent on staff with all other care. The resident received insulin all 7 days during the look back period. Additionally received an opioid. A physician order dated 10/18/2024 documented Tramadol 50 milligrams, give 1 tablet every 8 hours for pain scale of 5-10. The November 2024 Medication Administration Record documented Resident #73 received Tramadol 50 milligram with a documented pain scale of 0 (zero) at 6:00 AM on 11/5/24 and 11/6/24, at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/2/24, 11/3/24, and 11/5/24; and at 10:00 PM on 11/2/24 and 11/6/24, at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/2/24, 11/2/24, and 11/5/24; and at 10:00 PM on 11/2/24 and 11/6/24, at 2:00 PM on standing from 11/1/2024 to current. The drug regimen review recommended for the physician to evaluate the use of Tramadol, dated 9/19/24 the Medical Director responded the resident moaned and was nonverbal, the current dose was required with no gradual dose reduction. During an interview with Registered Nurse #8 on 11/06/24 at 10:03 AM they stated it was a standing order for Tramadol and they did not know why the pain scale was included. The resident was nonverbal and would be unable to use the pain numerical pain scale. During an interview with Licensed Practical Nurse Unit Manager #7 on 11/06/24 at 10:05 AM, they stated they believed the order may have changed from an as needed (P	Residents Affected - Few	resident's drug regimen was free from unnecessary drugs, use for 1 (Resident #73) of 5 residents reviewed for unnecessary drugs. Specifically, Resident #73 had an order for Tramadol 50 milligrams three times a day			
A Policy and Procedure titled Medication Administration dated 10/5/2024 documented Medication must be administered in accordance with orders, including any required time frames. If a dosage is believed to be inappropriate or excessive for the resident, the person preparing or administering the medication will contact the resident's attending physician or the facility medical director to discuss concerns. A quarterly Minimum Data Set (an assessment tool) dated 10/1/2024 documented the resident's cognition was severely impaired. The resident required supervision or touching assistance with eating and was dependent on staff with all other care. The resident received insulin all 7 days during the look back period. Additionally received an opioid. A physician order dated 10/18/2024 documented Tramadol 50 milligrams, give 1 tablet every 8 hours for pain scale of 5-10. The November 2024 Medication Administration Record documented Resident #73 received Tramadol 50 milligram with a documented pain scale of 0 (zero) at 6:00 AM on 11/5/24 and 11/6/24; at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/2/24, 11/3/24, and 11/5/24; and at 10:00 PM on 11/2/24 and 11/6/24; at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/2/24, 11/3/24, and 11/5/24; and at 10:00 PM on 11/1/24 and 11/6/24; at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24 in the Narcotics book documented that Tramadol 50 milligrams was signed out every 8 hours standing from 11/1/2024 to current. The drug regimen review recommended for the physician to evaluate the use of Tramadol, dated 9/19/24 the Medical Director responded the resident moaned and was nonverbal, the current dose was required with no gradual dose reduction. During an interview with Registered Nurse #8 on 11/06/24 at 10:03 AM they stated it was a standing order for Tramadol and they did not know why the pain scale was included. The resident was nonverbal and would be unable to use the pain numerical pain scale. During an interview with Licensed Practical Nurse Unit Manager #7 on 11/06/24 at 10:05 AM, they stated they believed the order may have changed from an as n		Findings included:			
administered in accordance with orders, including any required time frames. If a dosage is believed to be inappropriate or excessive for the resident, the person preparing or administering the medication will contact the resident's attending physician or the facility medical director to discuss concerns. A quarterly Minimum Data Set (an assessment tool) dated 10/1/2024 documented the resident's cognition was severely impaired. The resident required supervision or touching assistance with eating and was dependent on staff with all other care. The resident received insulin all 7 days during the look back period. Additionally received an opioid. A physician order dated 10/18/2024 documented Tramadol 50 milligrams, give 1 tablet every 8 hours for pain scale of 5-10. The November 2024 Medication Administration Record documented Resident #73 received Tramadol 50 milligram with a documented pain scale of 0 (zero) at 6:00 AM on 11/5/24 and 11/6/24; at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/2/24, 11/3/24, and 11/5/24; and at 10:00 PM on 11/2/24 and 11/5/24. A review of the Narcotics book documented that Tramadol 50 milligrams was signed out every 8 hours standing from 11/1/2024 to current. The drug regimen review recommended for the physician to evaluate the use of Tramadol, dated 9/19/24 the Medical Director responded the resident moaned and was nonverbal, the current dose was required with no gradual dose reduction. During an interview with Registered Nurse #8 on 11/06/24 at 10:03 AM they stated it was a standing order for Tramadol and they did not know why the pain scale was included. The resident was nonverbal and would be unable to use the pain numerical pain scale. During an interview with Licensed Practical Nurse Unit Manager #7 on 11/06/24 at 10:05 AM, they stated they believed the order may have changed from an as needed (PRN) order to a standing order. They stated they needed to get the order clarified.		Resident # 73 had diagnosis includ	ling Cerebral infarction, Aphasia, and T	ype 2 diabetes.	
was severely impaired. The resident required supervision or touching assistance with eating and was dependent on staff with all other care. The resident received insulin all 7 days during the look back period. Additionally received an opioid. A physician order dated 10/18/2024 documented Tramadol 50 milligrams, give 1 tablet every 8 hours for pain scale of 5-10. The November 2024 Medication Administration Record documented Resident #73 received Tramadol 50 milligram with a documented pain scale of 0 (zero) at 6:00 AM on 11/5/24 and 11/6/24; at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/2/24, 11/3/24, and 11/5/24; and at 10:00 PM on 11/2/24 and 11/5/24. A review of the Narcotics book documented that Tramadol 50 milligrams was signed out every 8 hours standing from 11/1/2024 to current. The drug regimen review recommended for the physician to evaluate the use of Tramadol, dated 9/19/24 the Medical Director responded the resident moaned and was nonverbal, the current dose was required with no gradual dose reduction. During an interview with Registered Nurse #8 on 11/06/24 at 10:03 AM they stated it was a standing order for Tramadol and they did not know why the pain scale was included. The resident was nonverbal and would be unable to use the pain numerical pain scale. During an interview with Licensed Practical Nurse Unit Manager #7 on 11/06/24 at 10:05 AM, they stated they believed the order may have changed from an as needed (PRN) order to a standing order. They stated they needed to get the order clarified.		administered in accordance with orders, including any required time frames. If a dosage is believed to be inappropriate or excessive for the resident, the person preparing or administering the medication will contact			
scale of 5-10. The November 2024 Medication Administration Record documented Resident #73 received Tramadol 50 milligram with a documented pain scale of 0 (zero) at 6:00 AM on 11/5/24 and 11/6/24; at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/2/24, 11/3/24, and 11/5/24; and at 10:00 PM on 11/2/24 and 11/5/24. A review of the Narcotics book documented that Tramadol 50 milligrams was signed out every 8 hours standing from 11/1/2024 to current. The drug regimen review recommended for the physician to evaluate the use of Tramadol, dated 9/19/24 the Medical Director responded the resident moaned and was nonverbal, the current dose was required with no gradual dose reduction. During an interview with Registered Nurse #8 on 11/06/24 at 10:03 AM they stated it was a standing order for Tramadol and they did not know why the pain scale was included. The resident was nonverbal and would be unable to use the pain numerical pain scale. During an interview with Licensed Practical Nurse Unit Manager #7 on 11/06/24 at 10:05 AM, they stated they believed the order may have changed from an as needed (PRN) order to a standing order. They stated they needed to get the order clarified.		was severely impaired. The resident required supervision or touching assistance with eating and was dependent on staff with all other care. The resident received insulin all 7 days during the look back period.			
milligram with a documented pain scale of 0 (zero) at 6:00 AM on 11/5/24 and 11/6/24; at 2:00 PM on 11/1/24, 11/2/24, 11/3/24, and 11/5/24; and at 10:00 PM on 11/2/24 and 11/5/24. A review of the Narcotics book documented that Tramadol 50 milligrams was signed out every 8 hours standing from 11/1/2024 to current. The drug regimen review recommended for the physician to evaluate the use of Tramadol, dated 9/19/24 the Medical Director responded the resident moaned and was nonverbal, the current dose was required with no gradual dose reduction. During an interview with Registered Nurse #8 on 11/06/24 at 10:03 AM they stated it was a standing order for Tramadol and they did not know why the pain scale was included. The resident was nonverbal and would be unable to use the pain numerical pain scale. During an interview with Licensed Practical Nurse Unit Manager #7 on 11/06/24 at 10:05 AM, they stated they believed the order may have changed from an as needed (PRN) order to a standing order. They stated they needed to get the order clarified.		A physician order dated 10/18/2024 documented Tramadol 50 milligrams, give 1 tablet every 8 hours for pain			
standing from 11/1/2024 to current. The drug regimen review recommended for the physician to evaluate the use of Tramadol, dated 9/19/24 the Medical Director responded the resident moaned and was nonverbal, the current dose was required with no gradual dose reduction. During an interview with Registered Nurse #8 on 11/06/24 at 10:03 AM they stated it was a standing order for Tramadol and they did not know why the pain scale was included. The resident was nonverbal and would be unable to use the pain numerical pain scale. During an interview with Licensed Practical Nurse Unit Manager #7 on 11/06/24 at 10:05 AM, they stated they believed the order may have changed from an as needed (PRN) order to a standing order. They stated they needed to get the order clarified.		milligram with a documented pain scale of 0 (zero) at 6:00 AM on 11/5/24 and 11/6/24; at 2:00 PM on			
Medical Director responded the resident moaned and was nonverbal, the current dose was required with no gradual dose reduction. During an interview with Registered Nurse #8 on 11/06/24 at 10:03 AM they stated it was a standing order for Tramadol and they did not know why the pain scale was included. The resident was nonverbal and would be unable to use the pain numerical pain scale. During an interview with Licensed Practical Nurse Unit Manager #7 on 11/06/24 at 10:05 AM, they stated they believed the order may have changed from an as needed (PRN) order to a standing order. They stated they needed to get the order clarified.		I .		vas signed out every 8 hours	
for Tramadol and they did not know why the pain scale was included. The resident was nonverbal and would be unable to use the pain numerical pain scale. During an interview with Licensed Practical Nurse Unit Manager #7 on 11/06/24 at 10:05 AM, they stated they believed the order may have changed from an as needed (PRN) order to a standing order. They stated they needed to get the order clarified.		Medical Director responded the resident moaned and was nonverbal, the current dose was required with no			
they believed the order may have changed from an as needed (PRN) order to a standing order. They stated they needed to get the order clarified.		for Tramadol and they did not know	why the pain scale was included. The		
(continued on next page)		they believed the order may have o	changed from an as needed (PRN) orde		
		(continued on next page)			

AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003 A. Building B. Wing STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) F 0757 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few During an interview with Physicians Assistant #9 on 11/08/24 at 10:31 AM, they stated they were the last				NO. 0936-0391
The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation and Nursing Center 2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) F 0757 During an interview with Physician #1 on 11/08/24 at 10:21 AM, they stated they would not write a standing order with a pain scale, but if the order had a pain scale it should have been followed. If the order was to give Tramadol for pain scale of 5-10, and the resident's pain scale was 0, then the nurse should have called the prior to giving the medication. During an interview with Physicians Assistant #9 on 11/08/24 at 10:31 AM, they stated they were the last person who had signed off on the resident's medications on 10/18/24. The resident required the medication for chronic pain, was nonverbal and unable to provide a numeric pain scale. The order should have been clarified by the nurse.	STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	A. Building	COMPLETED
The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation and Nursing Center 2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) F 0757 During an interview with Physician #1 on 11/08/24 at 10:21 AM, they stated they would not write a standing order with a pain scale, but if the order had a pain scale it should have been followed. If the order was to give the prior to giving the medication. Residents Affected - Few During an interview with Physicians Assistant #9 on 11/08/24 at 10:31 AM, they stated they were the last person who had signed off on the resident's medications on 10/18/24. The resident required the medication for chronic pain, was nonverbal and unable to provide a numeric pain scale. The order should have been clarified by the nurse.	NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE	ER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, Z	IP CODE
SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) During an interview with Physician #1 on 11/08/24 at 10:21 AM, they stated they would not write a standing order with a pain scale, but if the order had a pain scale it should have been followed. If the order was to give Tramadol for pain scale of 5-10, and the resident's pain scale was 0, then the nurse should have called their prior to giving the medication. During an interview with Physicians Assistant #9 on 11/08/24 at 10:31 AM, they stated they were the last person who had signed off on the resident's medications on 10/18/24. The resident required the medication for chronic pain, was nonverbal and unable to provide a numeric pain scale. The order should have been clarified by the nurse.			2000 East Main Street	
(Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) F 0757 During an interview with Physician #1 on 11/08/24 at 10:21 AM, they stated they would not write a standing order with a pain scale, but if the order had a pain scale it should have been followed. If the order was to give the prior to giving the medication. Residents Affected - Few During an interview with Physicians Assistant #9 on 11/08/24 at 10:31 AM, they stated they were the last person who had signed off on the resident's medications on 10/18/24. The resident required the medication for chronic pain, was nonverbal and unable to provide a numeric pain scale. The order should have been clarified by the nurse.	For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
order with a pain scale, but if the order had a pain scale it should have been followed. If the order was to give Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few During an interview with Physicians Assistant #9 on 11/08/24 at 10:31 AM, they stated they were the last person who had signed off on the resident's medications on 10/18/24. The resident required the medication for chronic pain, was nonverbal and unable to provide a numeric pain scale. The order should have been clarified by the nurse.	(X4) ID PREFIX TAG			
person who had signed off on the resident's medications on 10/18/24. The resident required the medication for chronic pain, was nonverbal and unable to provide a numeric pain scale. The order should have been clarified by the nurse.	F 0757 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	order with a pain scale, but if the or Tramadol for pain scale of 5-10, ar	rder had a pain scale it should have be	een followed. If the order was to give
415.12(I)(1)	Residents Affected - Few	person who had signed off on the resident's medications on 10/18/24. The resident required the medication for chronic pain, was nonverbal and unable to provide a numeric pain scale. The order should have been		
		415.12(I)(1)		

Printed: 06/14/2025 Form Approved OMB No. 0938-0391

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/08/2024	
NAME OF PROVIDED OR SUPPLIE	- n	STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE 71	D CODE	
	NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE	
The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation a	and Nursing Center	2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566		
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)			
F 0761 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	Ensure drugs and biologicals used in the facility are labeled in accordance with currently accepted professional principles; and all drugs and biologicals must be stored in locked compartments, separately locked, compartments for controlled drugs.			
•	50816			
Residents Affected - Few	Based on observation and interview conducted during a recertification survey from 11/04/24 to 11/08/2024, the facility failed to provide separately locked, permanently affixed compartments for storage of controlled substances on 1 of 2 facility units (Third Floor) reviewed for drug storage. Specifically, injectable Ativan (a controlled substance) was not stored in a double locked permanently affixed compartment.			
	The findings are:			
	Facility policy on Medication Labeling Storage dated 10/5/2024 documented the facility stores all medications and biologicals in locked compartments under proper temperature, humidity, and light controls. Only authorized personnel have access to keys. Medications requiring refrigeration are stored in a refrigerator located in the medication room at the nurses' station or other secured location. Controlled substances (listed as Schedule II-4 of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1976) and other drugs subject to abuse are separately locked in permanently affixed compartments, except when using single unit package drug distribution systems in which the quantity stored is minimal and a missing dose can be readily detected.			
	During an observation of the third-floor medication room at 10:16 AM on 11/6/2024, a clear plastic narcotic box was inside the medication refrigerator. The narcotic box had 2 keys. License Practical Nurse #2 attempted to but was unable to open the narcotic box with her keys. License Practical Nurse #2 removed the narcotic box from the refrigerator; it was not affixed inside the medication refrigerator. Inside the narcotic box were six (6) injectables of Ativan for Resident #4.			
	When interviewed on 11/6/2024 at 10:16 AM, License Practical Nurse #2 was not able to provide an answer as to why the narcotic box was not affixed to the refrigerator.			
	When interviewed on 11/6/2024 at 11:23 AM, the Director of Nursing stated the narcotic box inside the medication refrigerator should have been permanently affixed and not removable.			
	10 NYCRR 415.18 (e) (1-4)			

FORM CMS-2567 (02/99) Previous Versions Obsolete Event ID:

Facility ID: 335003

If continuation sheet Page 14 of 16

	NO. 0938-0391				
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/08/2024		
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE	ER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE			
The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation and Nursing Center		2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566			
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)				
F 0880	Provide and implement an infection prevention and control program.				
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	41666				
Residents Affected - Few	Based on observation, record review, and interviews conducted during a recertification survey from 11/4/2024 to 11/8/2024, the facility did not ensure infection control and prevention practices were maintained. This was evident for 2 (Resident #11 and #14) residents during a dining observation and 2 (Resident #70 and #38) of 4 residents during medication administration observation. Specifically, 1) Certified Nurse Aide #16 was observed feeding Residents #11 and #14 without performing hand hygiene between residents, and 2) Licensed Practical Nurse #1 was observed administering medication to Residents #70 and #38 without performing hand hygiene after touching other items in the room and administering eye drops.				
	The findings are:				
	1) Residents #11 and #14 both had diagnoses of dysphagia and dementia. During a lunch meal observation in the Main Dining Room on 11/4/2024 at 12:43 PM, Certified Nurse Aide #16 picked up a spoon and fed Resident #11. Certified Nurse Aid #16 then set the spoon down, turned and picked up Resident #14's spoon with the same hand and fed Resident #14. Certified Nurse Aide #16 continued to use the same hand to feed both residents without performing hand hygiene in between picking up and setting down the different spoons. During an interview on 11/06/2024 at 03:32 PM, Certified Nurse Aide #16 stated they fed Resident #11 and Resident #14 at the same time because there were not enough people to help feed those residents. Certified Nurse Aide #16 stated they did not realize they fed both residents with the same hand and should have sanitized their hands in between feeding each resident. During an interview on 11/06/2024 at 05:03 PM, the Infection Preventionist stated staff were not typically allowed to feed 2 residents at the same time. Certified Nurse Aide #16 should have sanitized their hands in between feeding residents and should not have used the same hand to feed both residents.				
	2) The facility policy titled Administering Medications dated 9/26/2024 documented staff follow established infection control procedures (handwashing, antiseptic technique, gloves, isolation precautions) for the administration of medications.				
	During medication administration on 11/6/2024 from 9:17 AM to 10:13 AM, on 3rd Floor East, the following was observed:				
	 Licensed Practical Nurse #1 prepared medication for Resident #70 at the medication cart, entered R #70 's room, touched the resident 's wheelchair and Hoyer lift pad, did not perform hand hygiene, an administered oral medications to Resident #70. 				
	(continued on next page)				
	1				

			No. 0938-0391
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 335003	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/08/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	P CODE
The Emerald Peek Rehabilitation a	and Nursing Center	2000 East Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC		on)
F 0880 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	- Licensed Practical Nurse #1 donned a pair of gloves, entered Resident #38 's room with oral medications and eye drops. At Resident #38 's bedside, while waking up the resident and assisting the resident to a sitting position, Licensed Practical Nurse #1 touched and pulled the disposable incontinence pad underneath the resident. Licensed Practical Nurse #1 proceeded to get a spoon from the medication cart and with the spoon, administered oral medications to Resident #38 then grabbed a bottle of eyedrops, and administered eyedrops to Resident #38. Licensed Practical Nurse #1 did not perform hand hygiene in between touching the resident 's bedding, administering the oral medications, and prior to administering eyedrops. On 11/06/2024 at 10:14 AM, Licensed Practical Nurse #1 was interviewed and stated they should have performed hand hygiene after touching other objects and prior to and after administering medications to Residents #70 and #38. Licensed Practical Nurse #1 stated they were aware of the infection control and prevention practices and forgot to do so during medication administration. 10 NYCRR 415.19(a)(1-3) 50816		