Printed: 05/14/2025 Form Approved OMB No. 0938-0391

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/06/2024	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Community Health and Rehabilitation Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 3611 Transmitter Road Panama City, FL 32404		
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)			
F 0554	Allow residents to self-administer of	lrugs if determined clinically appropriat	e.	
Level of Harm - Minimal harm	45951			
or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Based on observation, staff interview, resident interview, and records review, the facility failed to ensure the interdisciplinary team assessed and determined residents were capable of self-administration of medications prior to allowing 2 of 4 residents sampled for medication administration to self-administer medications. (Residents #32 and #61)			
	The findings include:			
	During the observation of medication administration on 06/04/2024 at 9:13 AM with Registered Nurse (RN) I, it was observed that oral medications, including a schedule II medication, Hydrocodone-Acetaminophen Tablet 5-325 milligrams (mg) (a medication used for pain), were placed in a medicine cup and left at the bedside for Resident #61 to self-administer. Following this observation, an interview was completed with RN I. She said that Resident #61 was not assessed for self-administration.			
	An observation on 6/4/2024 at about 9:20 AM found Resident #32 with 8 pills loose on the breakfast tray in front of him/her. (photographic evidence obtained)			
	During a follow up interview on 6/4/24 at about 9:30 AM with RN I, she stated she was told there are a number of residents in the facility she can trust to take their medications. RN I said no residents were assessed for self-administration of medications.			
	A review of medical records revealed the medications administered to Resident #32 included:			
	Tradjenta 5 mg (a medication to tre	eat diabetes)		
	Thiamine HCl 100 mg (a mineral supplement)			
	Tenormin 50 mg (used to treat high	n blood pressure)		
	Tamsulosin HCl Capsule 0.4 mg (used to treat enlarged prostate)			
	Spironolactone 100 mg (used to treat high blood pressure)			
	Oxycodone Hydrochloride 10 mg (Resident #32 said this medication was not administered with other medications during the observation/interview on 06/04/2024)			
	(continued on next page)			

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

TITLE

(X6) DATE

FORM CMS-2567 (02/99) Previous Versions Obsolete Event ID:

Facility ID: 105975

If continuation sheet Page 1 of 9

			No. 0938-0391	
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 105975	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/06/2024	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, Z	IP CODE	
Community Health and Rehabilitat	Community Health and Rehabilitation Center			
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)			
F 0554	Prednisone 20 mg (used to treat ar	thritis)		
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or	Multiple Vitamins-Minerals			
potential for actual harm	Furosemide 40 mg (a high blood p	ressure medication)		
Residents Affected - Few	Folic Acid Tablet 1 mg (a mineral s	upplement)		
	Aspirin Oral Tablet (used to treat cardiovascular risks)			
	Medications left at bedside for Res	ident #61 to self-administer:		
	Simethicone Oral 1 tablet (used to	treat gas)		
	Sennosides-Docusate Sodium Tab	let 8.6-50 mg (a laxative)		
	Losartan Potassium Oral Tablet 50 mg (a high blood pressure medication)			
	Lasix Tablet 20 mg (a diuretic)			
	Lamictal Oral Tablet 100 mg (used to treat bipolar disorder)			
	Hydralazine HCl Tablet 25 mg (a high blood pressure medication)			
	GlycoLax Powder mg (a laxative)			
	Duloxetine HCl Capsule Delayed Release Particles 60 mg (an antidepressant)			
	Cetirizine HCl Tablet 10 mg (an an	tihistamine)		
	Carvedilol Tablet 25 mg (a high blo	ood pressure medication)		
	Alprazolam Oral Tablet 0.25 mg (a	n anti-anxiety medication)		
	Acetaminophen 325 mg			
	A review of facility Policy for Medication Pass Guideline included the following: Procedure section #9 Administration of medication: Remain with resident until administration of medications complete.			

			NO. 0936-0391
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/06/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Community Health and Rehabilitation Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 3611 Transmitter Road Panama City, FL 32404	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	Lact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		on)
F 0684 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Provide appropriate treatment and care according to orders, resident's preferences and goals. 42756 Based on observation, resident interview, staff interview, record review and policy review, the facility failed to ensure that wound assessments, dressing changes and wound care were provided in accordance with physician orders and facility policy for 2 of 3 residents sampled for wound care observations (Resident #7 & #24). The findings include: Resident #24: On 6/3/24 at approximately 11:46 AM, an interview and observation was conducted with Resident #24. The surveyor entered the room as the resident repeatedly said, help me, help me. The resident indicated she wanted to get up. The resident showed the surveyor her left leg. She indicated that her leg was bothering her. Resident #24 had a small, round, uncovered open area lateral to her left knee. Her left lower leg had a dressing. The dressing was dated 5/30 (4 days ago). The dressing had visible dried brown drainage present. (photographic evidence obtained) On 6/4/24 a review of Resident #24's record was conducted. A review of the progress notes and hospital discharge summary from 5/29/24 for Resident #24 revealed that the resident had been in the hospital for treatment of an infected post-surgical wound after surgical repair of a fracture of the left lower leg. She was treated for a systemic infection related to the infected wound. Resident #24 was discharged with an intravenous (IV) access and orders to receive IV antibiotics to treat the infection along with orders for daily dressing changes to the area. The record indicated that the resident was readmitted to the facility from the hospital on 5/29/24. A review of the current physician orders was conducted for Resident #24. There was an order to loosely fill cavity to left knee with 1/4 inch iodoform gauze and cover with dry dressing daily and as needed (PRN) if solled or dislodged for wound management with a start date of 6/1/24. There was also a physician order for a Calcium Alginate Dressing to l		eferences and goals. Indicate policy review, the facility failed to be provided in accordance with care observations (Resident #7 & conducted with Resident #24. The me. The resident indicated she cated that her leg was bothering left knee. Her left lower leg had a sible dried brown drainage present. The progress notes and hospital ent had been in the hospital for ture of the left lower leg. She was the was discharged with an rection along with orders for daily readmitted to the facility from the many the state of the left lower leg. There was an order to loosely fill and daily and as needed (PRN) if the left leg every day shift for skin licate as needed. Monitor site for are detected. Cleanse with wound and daily and prn if soiled with a start
	management eval for pain prior du signs and symptoms of infection ar	the Calcium Alginate Ag Dressing to lefting and after treatment and medicate and notify MD, Cleanse with Wound careing daily and prn if soiled was not initiatinged on those dates.	as needed (PRN), monitor site for e cleanser, Dakin's to wound bed,

			NO. 0936-0391
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/06/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Community Health and Rehabilitation Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 3611 Transmitter Road Panama City, FL 32404	P CODE
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	ion)
F 0684 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	dressing on the left lateral leg was On 6/5/24 at approximately 10:40 A (LPN). Wound care was observed dressing on Resident #24's left knet gauze and covered the area with a the dressing dated 5/30/24 and no caring for Resident #24 on day shift the dressing over the left knee hers hospital recently and that she did not left lower leg until 6/4/24 when she On 6/6/24 at approximately 9:40 Al was shown the image taken on 6/3 dated 5/30/24 in place. The DON a ordered. The DON was asked to provide the did not change dressings according to phy most current treatment order. A review of the facility policy titled legal medications should be administered patient refusal should be document. Resident #7 On 6/4/24, a review of the current phave a wound to the right sacrum of every day shift every three days for 6/3/24 indicating this treatment had the treatment was signed off as better the current was signed off as better the current care plan indicated that The current care plan indicated that	AM, an interview was conducted with N for Resident #24. When the observation set. Nurse A loosely filled the cavity to led dry dressing. Nurse A LPN was shown cover over wound on left knee. Nurse at this week since 6/3/24. She indicated stelf. She also explained that Resident at other realize that daily dressing changes with the dressing as ordered. M, an interview was conducted with the missing read that the dressing on the lower leaved a copy of the facility policy regardentments. Dressing Change was conducted. The resident Pass Guidelines was conducted in accordance with frequency prescrited in the record. Medication Pass Guidelines was conducted in the record. Only sician orders was conducted for Recheat and with wound cleanser pat dry at wound management. The start date for the procedure with wound cleanser pat are wound management. Start date 4/22/4 been completed. A review was also centred on the completed. A review was also centred on the completed. A review was also centred the completed of the complete of the complete of the completed of the complete of the comp	lurse A, a Licensed Practical Nurse n was conducted, there was no left knee with 1/4 inch iodoform in the image taken on 6/3/24 with A explained that she has been I that Resident #24 often removes #24 had been discharged from the were ordered for the wound on the left in the were ordered for the wound on the left in the were ordered for the wound on the left in the were ordered for the wound on the left in the were ordered for the wound on the left in the were ordered for the wound on the left in the left in the were ordered for the wound on the left in the left i

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 105975	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/06/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE		CTDEET ADDRESS CITY STATE 7	ID CODE
Community Health and Rehabilitat		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 3611 Transmitter Road	PCODE
Community Fleath and Nehabilitat	ion center	Panama City, FL 32404	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		ion)
F 0684 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	On 6/4/24 at approximately 8:15 AM, an interview was conducted with Resident #7. She was asked if she had any wounds or open areas on her skin. Resident #7 said she had no open areas. Resident #7 explained that she had a wound previously but she does not have any open areas on her skin presently. On 06/04/24 at 1:41 PM, Nurse A, a Licensed Practical Nurse (LPN), was asked if she could observe the wound on Resident #7's sacrum. Nurse A, LPN asked Resident #7 to turn over in the bed. She had no wound, no abnormality of her skin anywhere on her lower back or sacrum, and no dressing present. Nurse A explained she never put a dressing on Resident #7 because there was no wound. She offered to put a dressing over the resident's sacrum. Nurse A was asked if she had considered contacting the physician to		
	have the order discontinued. Nurse A did not respond. Nurse A was asked how often skin assessments are completed for the residents and pointed out that Resident #7 did not have a documented skin assessment since 4/30/24. She explained that skin assessments are normally completed weekly and confirmed that 4/30/24 was the last skin assessment for Resident #7 in the chart. On 6/6/24 at approximately 9:40 AM an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursing (DON). She was notified that the Resident #7 observations conducted with Nurse A revealed that Resident #7 longer had a wound on her sacrum. However, wound care was being documented as being done on both May and June TARs. She indicated that the resident likely returned from the hospital in April with the order and it has not been discontinued. The DON indicated that this would be addressed. The DON was asked to provide a copy		
	of the facility policy regarding dress	sing changes and administration of med	dications and treatments.

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 105975	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/06/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE	D.	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	P CODE
Community Health and Rehabilitation		3611 Transmitter Road	P CODE
Community Fleatin and Renabilitation	on center	Panama City, FL 32404	
For information on the nursing home's p	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0693 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or	Ensure that feeding tubes are not provide appropriate care for a resid	used unless there is a medical reason lent with a feeding tube.	and the resident agrees; and
potential for actual harm	**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS H	IAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT C	ONFIDENTIALITY** 45951
Residents Affected - Few		nd record review, the facility failed to for reviewed for tube feeding. (Resident #2	
	The findings include:		
	During a tour of the facility conducted on 06/03/24 at 11:45 AM, Resident #26 was observed lying in her bed with tube feeding hanging but not infusing. The bottle of tube feeding formula that was observed was Jevity 1. 5 (photographic evidence obtained). A second observation was conducted on 06/03/24 at 2:30 PM of Resident #26's tube feeding infusing-the bottle infusing was Jevity 1.5 formula. Initial review of Resident #26's record revealed the tube feeding order written by the physician on 09/24/23 was for Jevity 1.2 formula. This indicates Resident #26 was receiving the wrong tube feeding formula.		
	Resident #26 was last readmitted to the facility on [DATE]. Review of Resident #26's medical history revealed she has a history of Cancer, Difficulty Swallowing, and Gastrostomy Tube Dependency.		
	An interview was conducted with Staff A, a Licensed Practical Nurse, on 06/05/24 at 1:53 PM. Staff A confirmed she was assigned to Resident #26 on 06/03/24. She stated Resident #26's tube feeding bottle was changed by the night shift staff and that she was responsible for stopping it at 10:00 AM and restarting at 12:00 PM each day, per the physician order. Staff A stated she had not noticed the wrong tube feeding formula was infusing during her shift on 06/03/24. An interview was conducted with the Registered Dietitian on 06/05/24 at 11:40 AM. She stated she was aware that Resident #26 was ordered to receive Jevity 1.2 formula. She said at times, if there were to be a lack of Jevity 1.2, it would be fine for the facility staff to substitute and give a resident Jevity 1.5 instead. She stated she did not know if this was why the staff had chosen to give the incorrect formula on 06/03/24.		
		Staff D, the Central Supply Coordinator, on 06/05/24 at 1:15 PM. Staff D e a lack of Jevity 1.2 over the weekend or on 06/03/24. She confirmed there aff to administer to Resident #26.	
	An interview was conducted with the facility's Director of Nursing on 06/05/24 2:18 PM. She stated that was unaware that Resident #26 had received the wrong tube feeding formula on 06/03/24.		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 105975	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/06/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	D CODE
Community Health and Rehabilitati		3611 Transmitter Road	PCODE
Community Floater and Floridomical		Panama City, FL 32404	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		on)
F 0695	Provide safe and appropriate respi	ratory care for a resident when needed	
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	35609		
Residents Affected - Few		iews, interviews, and policy review, the f 4 residents sampled for respiratory ca	
	The findings include:		
	On 6/3/24 at 12:04 PM, 6/4/24 at 12:48 PM and 3:16 PM, and 6/5/24 at 8:45 AM, Resident #15 was observed in bed receiving humidified oxygen via nasal cannula at 1.5 liters (L) from an oxygen concentrator. On 6/5/24 at 11:17 AM, Resident #15 was observed sitting up in a wheelchair in the hall near their room receiving oxygen via nasal cannula at 2 L from portable oxygen tank.		
	On 6/3/24, a record review was conducted for Resident #15. The record review included a review of the current and discontinued/completed physician orders. There were no orders for oxygen therapy.		
	On 6/5/24 at 8:46 AM, an interview was conducted with Resident #15, who stated they have been using oxygen for 2 to 3 weeks prior to admission to the facility and has been on oxygen continuously since admission to the facility.		
	On 6/5/24 at 10:23 AM, an interview was conducted with Staff H, a Registered Nurse caring for Resident #15. She reviewed the resident's electronic medication administration record and verbally agreed there was no order for oxygen and stated the night shift nurse told her the resident was on oxygen when she gave her report this morning and wrote it on the report sheet that the resident was on 2 L oxygen via nasal cannula.		
	On 6/5/24 at 10:30 AM, an interview was conducted with the Assistant Director of Nursing (ADON), who reviewed the resident's orders and verbally agreed there were no orders for the oxygen and stated she will find out why she was placed on oxygen.		
	On 6/5/24 at 2:16 PM, an interview was conducted with the ADON, who acknowledged that there was no order for the oxygen but it has now been fixed and they have the order for oxygen.		
	On 6/5/24 A review of the policy Da as follows:	aily Review of Physician's Orders, 2015	was conducted. The policy read
	Procedure		
	1. The nurse will review the Physic	ian order section of the medical record	daily.
	4. The nurse should identify any tra	anscription issue or omission and:	
	Notify supervisor	•	
	Document on the 24 hour report		
	(continued on next page)		
	I .		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 105975	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/06/2024
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE	-p	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	P CODE
Community Health and Rehabilitat		3611 Transmitter Road	PCODE
,		Panama City, FL 32404	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	ion)
F 0695	Take action to correct		
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm			
Residents Affected - Few			

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION (X1) PROVIDER ON SUPPLIER Community Health and Rehabilitation (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing (X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/06/2024 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 3611 Transmitter Road Panama City, FL 32404 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Provide and implement an infection prevention and control program. "NOTE-TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY" 45951 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to maintain proper infection control protection for 1 in session reviewed for transmission based precautions. An initial review of Resident #44's record or owned of this Contact Isolation order was written due to gist for Enhanced Barrier Precautions. An initial review of Resident #44's record or owned of this Contact Isolation order was written due to of Macrobid (an oral antibiotici) from 05/26/24 to 05/06/24. Resident #44 was last readmitted to the facility on [DATE]. A review of Resident #44's medical history revealed shy had a history of Chronic Kidney Disease, Urrany Tract Indicons, and Demmita. Continued observations were conducted on 08/04/24 and 08/05/24 revealed the Enhanced Barrier Precautions sign on Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in Stoke of the owner of the correct Contact Isolation sign on white hur run but was unable to provide more information without consulting the electronic health record. Staff A reviewed Resident #44 was in a stoke of the owner of the owner. An interview was conducted with MS faff A. Licensed Precalcal Staff Are viewed Resident #44 was in proceed to be no Contact Isolation. When a row of the control owner in the door. An interview was conducted with MS faff B. Certified Annyaging Assis				No. 0938-0391
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) F 0880 Provide and implement an infection prevention and control program. "NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY" 45951 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to maintain proper infection control protocol for 1 of 1 resident reviewed for transmission based precautions. (Resident #44) The findings included: During a tour of the facility conducted on 06/03/24 at 11:45 AM, Resident #44's room door had an isolation sign for Enhanced Barrier Precautions. An initial review of Resident #44's record revealed a physician's order written on 06/02/24 for Contact Isolation. Further review of Resident #44's record revealed this Contact Isolation order was written due to Resident #44 having an Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) infection in her urine requiring the use of Macrobid (an oral antibiotic) from 05/26/24 to 06/09/24. Resident #44 was last readmitted to the facility on [DATE]. A review of Resident #44's medical history revealed she had a history of Chronic Kidney Disease, Urinary Tract Infections, and Dementia. Continued observations were conducted on 06/04/24 and 06/05/24 revealed the Enhanced Barrier Precautions sign on Resident #44's door was not the correct Contact Isolation sign. An interview was conducted with Staff A, Licensed Practical Nurse on 06/05/24 at 1:53 PM. Staff A confirmed she was the nurse assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44's chart and verbalized that Resident #44 was sin isolation or nor, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a conflact Isolation. When showed the room door, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a conflact Isolation sign on the door. An interview was conducted with Staff B, Certified		IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	A. Building	COMPLETED
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) F 0880 Provide and implement an infection prevention and control program. "NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY" 45951 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to maintain proper infection control protocol for 1 of 1 resident reviewed for transmission based precautions. (Resident #44) The findings included: During a tour of the facility conducted on 06/03/24 at 11:45 AM, Resident #44's room door had an isolation sign for Enhanced Barrier Precautions. An initial review of Resident #44's record revealed a physician's order written on 06/02/24 for Contact Isolation. Further review of Resident #44's record revealed this Contact Isolation order was written due to Resident #44 having an Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) infection in her urine requiring the use of Macrobid (an oral antibiotic) from 05/26/24 to 06/09/24. Resident #44 was last readmitted to the facility on [DATE]. A review of Resident #44's medical history revealed she had a history of Chronic Kidney Disease, Urinary Tract Infections, and Dementia. Continued observations were conducted on 06/04/24 and 06/05/24 revealed the Enhanced Barrier Precautions sign on Resident #44's door was not the correct Contact Isolation sign. An interview was conducted with Staff A, Licensed Practical Nurse on 06/05/24 at 1:53 PM. Staff A confirmed she was the nurse assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44's chart and verbalized that Resident #44 was sin isolation or nor, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a conflact Isolation. When showed the room door, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a conflact Isolation sign on the door. An interview was conducted with Staff B, Certified	NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE	ER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, Z	IP CODE
F 0880 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 45951 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to maintain proper infection control protocol for 1 of 1 resident reviewed for transmission based precautions. (Resident #44) The findings included: During a tour of the facility conducted on 06/03/24 at 11:45 AM, Resident #44's room door had an isolation sign for Enhanced Barrier Precautions. An initial review of Resident #44's record revealed a physician's order written on 06/02/24 for Contact Isolation. Further review of Resident #44's record revealed this Contact Isolation order was written due to Resident #44 was last readmitted to the facility on (DATE). A review of Resident #44's medical history revealed she had a history of Chronic Kidney Disease, Uninary Tract Infections, and Dementia. Continued observations were conducted on 06/04/24 and 06/05/24 revealed the Enhanced Barrier Precautions sign on Resident #44's door was not the correct Contact Isolation sign. An interview was conducted with Staff A, Licensed Practical Nurse on 06/05/24 at 1:53 PM. Staff A confirmed she was the nurse assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation, she said she was in isolation because she had something going on with her urine but was unable to provide more information without consulting the electronic health record. Staff A reviewed Resident #44's chart and verbalized that Resident #44 was upposed to be on Contact Isolation. When showed the room door, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a Contact Isolation sign on the door. An interview was conducted with Staff B, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) on 06/05/24 at 2:00 PM. Staff B confirmed she was the CNA assigned to Resident #44 was not on isolation. An interview was conducted with the facility's Director of Nursing on 06/05/24 at 2:18 PM. She stated that			3611 Transmitter Road	
F 0880	For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 45951 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to maintain proper infection control protocol for 1 of 1 resident reviewed for transmission based precautions. (Resident #44) The findings included: During a tour of the facility conducted on 06/03/24 at 11:45 AM, Resident #44's room door had an isolation sign for Enhanced Barrier Precautions. An initial review of Resident #44's record revealed a physician's order written on 06/02/24 for Contact Isolation. Further review of Resident #44's record revealed this Contact Isolation order was written due to Resident #44 having an Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) infection in her urine requiring the use of Macrobid (an oral antibiotic) from 05/26/24 to 06/09/24. Resident #44 was last readmitted to the facility on [DATE]. A review of Resident #44's medical history revealed she had a history of Chronic Kidney Disease, Urinary Tract Infections, and Dementia. Continued observations were conducted on 06/04/24 and 06/05/24 revealed the Enhanced Barrier Precautions sign on Resident #44's door was not the correct Contact Isolation sign. An interview was conducted with Staff A, Licensed Practical Nurse on 06/05/24 at 1:53 PM. Staff A confirmed she was the nurse assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation, she said she was in isolation because she had something going on with her urine but was unable to provide more information without consulting the electronic health record. Staff A reviewed Resident #44's chart and verbalized that Resident #44 was supposed to be on Contact Isolation. When showed the room door, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a Contact Isolation sign on the door. An interview was conducted with Staff B, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) on 06/05/24 at 2:00 PM. Staff B confirmed she was the CNA assigned to Resi	(X4) ID PREFIX TAG			ion)
Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to maintain proper infection control protocol for 1 of 1 resident reviewed for transmission based precautions. (Resident #44) The findings included: During a tour of the facility conducted on 06/03/24 at 11:45 AM, Resident #44's room door had an isolation sign for Enhanced Barrier Precautions. An initial review of Resident #44's record revealed a physician's order written on 06/02/24 for Contact Isolation. Further review of Resident #44's record revealed this Contact Isolation order was written due to Resident #44 having an Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) infection in her urine requiring the use of Macrobid (an oral antibiotic) from 05/26/24 to 06/09/24. Resident #44 was last readmitted to the facility on [DATE]. A review of Resident #44's medical history revealed she had a history of Chronic Kidney Disease, Urinary Tract Infections, and Dementia. Continued observations were conducted on 06/04/24 and 06/05/24 revealed the Enhanced Barrier Precautions sign on Resident #44's door was not the correct Contact Isolation sign. An interview was conducted with Staff A, Licensed Practical Nurse on 06/05/24 at 1:53 PM. Staff A confirmed she was the nurse assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation, she said she was in isolation because she had something going on with her urine but was unable to provide more information without consulting the electronic health record. Staff A reviewed Resident #44's chart and verbalized that Resident #44 was supposed to be on Contact Isolation. When showed the room door, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a Contact Isolation sign on the door. An interview was conducted with Staff B, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) on 06/05/24 at 2:00 PM. Staff B confirmed she was the CNA assigned to Resident #44 was not on isolation. An interview was conducted with the facility's Director of Nursing on 06/05/24 at 2:18 PM. She stated that	F 0880	Provide and implement an infection	n prevention and control program.	
Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to maintain proper infection control protocol for 1 of 1 resident reviewed for transmission based precautions. (Resident #44) The findings included: During a tour of the facility conducted on 06/03/24 at 11:45 AM, Resident #44's room door had an isolation sign for Enhanced Barrier Precautions. An initial review of Resident #44's record revealed a physician's order written on 06/02/24 for Contact Isolation. Further review of Resident #44's record revealed this Contact Isolation order was written due to Resident #44 having an Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) infection in her urine requiring the use of Macrobid (an oral antibiotic) from 05/26/24 to 06/09/24. Resident #44 was last readmitted to the facility on [DATE]. A review of Resident #44's medical history revealed she had a history of Chronic Kidney Disease, Urinary Tract Infections, and Dementia. Continued observations were conducted on 06/04/24 and 06/05/24 revealed the Enhanced Barrier Precautions sign on Resident #44's door was not the correct Contact Isolation sign. An interview was conducted with Staff A, Licensed Practical Nurse on 06/05/24 at 1:53 PM. Staff A confirmed she was the nurse assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation, she said she was in isolation because she ad something going on with her urine but was unable to provide more information without consulting the electronic health record. Staff A reviewed Resident #44's chart and verbalized that Resident #44 was supposed to be on Contact Isolation. When showed the room door, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a Contact Isolation sign on the door. An interview was conducted with Staff B, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) on 06/05/24 at 2:00 PM. Staff B confirmed she was the CNA assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation for any reason, she stated Resident #44 was not on isolation. An interview was conducted with the		**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS H	HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT C	ONFIDENTIALITY** 45951
During a tour of the facility conducted on 06/03/24 at 11:45 AM, Resident #44's room door had an isolation sign for Enhanced Barrier Precautions. An initial review of Resident #44's record revealed a physician's order written on 06/02/24 for Contact Isolation. Further review of Resident #44's record revealed this Contact Isolation order was written due to Resident #44 having an Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) infection in her urine requiring the use of Macrobid (an oral antibiotic) from 05/26/24 to 06/09/24. Resident #44 was last readmitted to the facility on [DATE]. A review of Resident #44's medical history revealed she had a history of Chronic Kidney Disease, Urinary Tract Infections, and Dementia. Continued observations were conducted on 06/04/24 and 06/05/24 revealed the Enhanced Barrier Precautions sign on Resident #44's door was not the correct Contact Isolation sign. An interview was conducted with Staff A, Licensed Practical Nurse on 06/05/24 at 1:53 PM. Staff A confirmed she was the nurse assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation, she said she was in isolation because she had something going on with her urine but was unable to provide more information without consulting the electronic health record. Staff A reviewed Resident #44's chart and verbalized that Resident #44 was supposed to be on Contact Isolation. When showed the room door, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a Contact Isolation sign on the door. An interview was conducted with Staff B, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) on 06/05/24 at 2:00 PM. Staff B confirmed she was the CNA assigned to Resident #44 was not on isolation. An interview was conducted with the facility's Director of Nursing on 06/05/24 at 2:18 PM. She stated that	•			
sign for Enhanced Barrier Precautions. An initial review of Resident #44's record revealed a physician's order written on 06/02/24 for Contact Isolation. Further review of Resident #44's record revealed this Contact Isolation order was written due to Resident #44 having an Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) infection in her urine requiring the use of Macrobid (an oral antibiotic) from 05/26/24 to 06/09/24. Resident #44 was last readmitted to the facility on [DATE]. A review of Resident #44's medical history revealed she had a history of Chronic Kidney Disease, Urinary Tract Infections, and Dementia. Continued observations were conducted on 06/04/24 and 06/05/24 revealed the Enhanced Barrier Precautions sign on Resident #44's door was not the correct Contact Isolation sign. An interview was conducted with Staff A, Licensed Practical Nurse on 06/05/24 at 1:53 PM. Staff A confirmed she was the nurse assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation, she said she was in isolation because she had something going on with her urine but was unable to provide more information without consulting the electronic health record. Staff A reviewed Resident #44's chart and verbalized that Resident #44 was supposed to be on Contact Isolation. When showed the room door, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a Contact Isolation sign on the door. An interview was conducted with Staff B, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) on 06/05/24 at 2:00 PM. Staff B confirmed she was the CNA assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation for any reason, she stated Resident #44 was not on isolation. An interview was conducted with the facility's Director of Nursing on 06/05/24 at 2:18 PM. She stated that		The findings included:		
Isolation. Further review of Resident #44's record revealed this Contact Isolation order was written due to Resident #44 having an Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) infection in her urine requiring the use of Macrobid (an oral antibiotic) from 05/26/24 to 06/09/24. Resident #44 was last readmitted to the facility on [DATE]. A review of Resident #44's medical history revealed she had a history of Chronic Kidney Disease, Urinary Tract Infections, and Dementia. Continued observations were conducted on 06/04/24 and 06/05/24 revealed the Enhanced Barrier Precautions sign on Resident #44's door was not the correct Contact Isolation sign. An interview was conducted with Staff A, Licensed Practical Nurse on 06/05/24 at 1:53 PM. Staff A confirmed she was the nurse assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation, she said she was in isolation because she had something going on with her urine but was unable to provide more information without consulting the electronic health record. Staff A reviewed Resident #44's chart and verbalized that Resident #44 was supposed to be on Contact Isolation. When showed the room door, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a Contact Isolation sign on the door. An interview was conducted with Staff B, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) on 06/05/24 at 2:00 PM. Staff B confirmed she was the CNA assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation for any reason, she stated Resident #44 was not on isolation.				#44's room door had an isolation
revealed she had a history of Chronic Kidney Disease, Urinary Tract Infections, and Dementia. Continued observations were conducted on 06/04/24 and 06/05/24 revealed the Enhanced Barrier Precautions sign on Resident #44's door was not the correct Contact Isolation sign. An interview was conducted with Staff A, Licensed Practical Nurse on 06/05/24 at 1:53 PM. Staff A confirmed she was the nurse assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation, she said she was in isolation because she had something going on with her urine but was unable to provide more information without consulting the electronic health record. Staff A reviewed Resident #44's chart and verbalized that Resident #44 was supposed to be on Contact Isolation. When showed the room door, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a Contact Isolation sign on the door. An interview was conducted with Staff B, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) on 06/05/24 at 2:00 PM. Staff B confirmed she was the CNA assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation for any reason, she stated Resident #44 was not on isolation. An interview was conducted with the facility's Director of Nursing on 06/05/24 at 2:18 PM. She stated that		Isolation. Further review of Resident #44's record revealed this Contact Isolation order was written due to Resident #44 having an Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) infection in her urine requiring the use		
Precautions sign on Resident #44's door was not the correct Contact Isolation sign. An interview was conducted with Staff A, Licensed Practical Nurse on 06/05/24 at 1:53 PM. Staff A confirmed she was the nurse assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation, she said she was in isolation because she had something going on with her urine but was unable to provide more information without consulting the electronic health record. Staff A reviewed Resident #44's chart and verbalized that Resident #44 was supposed to be on Contact Isolation. When showed the room door, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a Contact Isolation sign on the door. An interview was conducted with Staff B, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) on 06/05/24 at 2:00 PM. Staff B confirmed she was the CNA assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation for any reason, she stated Resident #44 was not on isolation. An interview was conducted with the facility's Director of Nursing on 06/05/24 at 2:18 PM. She stated that				
confirmed she was the nurse assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation, she said she was in isolation because she had something going on with her urine but was unable to provide more information without consulting the electronic health record. Staff A reviewed Resident #44's chart and verbalized that Resident #44 was supposed to be on Contact Isolation. When showed the room door, Staff A stated she did not know why there was not a Contact Isolation sign on the door. An interview was conducted with Staff B, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) on 06/05/24 at 2:00 PM. Staff B confirmed she was the CNA assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation for any reason, she stated Resident #44 was not on isolation. An interview was conducted with the facility's Director of Nursing on 06/05/24 at 2:18 PM. She stated that				
confirmed she was the CNA assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation for any reason, she stated Resident #44 was not on isolation. An interview was conducted with the facility's Director of Nursing on 06/05/24 at 2:18 PM. She stated that		confirmed she was the nurse assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in isolation, she said she was in isolation because she had something going on with her urine but was unable to provide more information without consulting the electronic health record. Staff A reviewed Resident #44's chart and verbalized that Resident #44 was supposed to be on Contact Isolation. When showed the room		
		confirmed she was the CNA assigned to Resident #44 for the week. When asked if Resident #44 was in		